
APPENDIX A



Memorandum



To: EMM Senior Management Team, HOD's and Officials

responsible for Finance within each Department

Head Office

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE

Sanburn Building 68 Woburn Avenue

1501 Benoni

Private Bag X 66

Benoni 1500

Tel: (011) 999-7423 Fax: (086) 544 7034 www.ekurhuleni.gov.za

From: Group Chief Financial Officer

Enquiries: Hannes Dednam

E-mail: Hannes.Dednam@ekurhuleni.gov.za

Date: 14 October 2016

Contents

9.2

10.

10.1

10.2

11.12.

SUBJECT: 2017/18 – 2019/20 BUDGET GUIDELINES

2.	KEY DEADLINES FOR THE COMPILATION OF THE BUDGET	2
3.	STRATEGIC ALIGNMENT OF THE BUDGET	6
4.	IDP GUIDELINES FOR RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS	6
5.	LINKING IDP TO THE 2017/18 MTREF BUDGET	6
6.	COMPLIANCE AND IMPLEMENTATION OF STANDARD CHART OF ACCOUNTS (SCOA)	6
6.1	Refinement of vote numbers	7
7.	DEMAND MANAGEMENT PLANS / PROCUREMENT PLANS	7
8.	2016/17 ADJUSTMENT BUDGET TO BE PART OF BUDGET COMPILATION	7
9.	PROCESS OF CAPITAL BUDGET SUBMISSIONS FOR 2017/18 – 2019/20 MTREF	7
9.1	Requirements for 2017/18 – 2019/20 Capital Budget	7

PRACTICAL PROCEDURE IN COMPLETING THE 2017/18 OPERATING BUDGET FILE..... 11

Supplementary Budgets......11

1. PURPOSE OF THIS GUIDELINE

The purpose of this letter is to inform the departments of the processes to be followed for the submission of adjustment budget requests for the current budget (2016/17) as well as the compilation of the 2017/18 – 2019/20 Operating and Capital Budget.

The following attachments form part of the Budget Guidelines issued to departments:

CAPITAL BUDGET:

- Technical Note CP3: Capital Investment Framework Summary of Project Data Requirements (Word file named "Ekurhuleni_CP3_Data_CIF Capturing_Requirements_v0 01)
- Capital Prioritisation Model (Pdf file named " EMM Capital Prioritisation Model_Manual 2016_Final)
- Capital Budget Capturing / Mapping Schedule for 2016
- 2017-18 Procurement Plan for the Capital Budget
- Excel file for the Capital Budget both Adjustment Budget requests and new budget requests (Excel file named "Draft-Multi Year 2017-18 2019-20 Capital Budget and 2016/17 Adjustment Budget (Name of the Department)").

OPERATING BUDGET:

- 2016/17 Adjustment Budget Requests for the <u>Operating Budget</u> (Excel file named "Template -Adjustment Budget for 2016-17 OPEX").
- Excel file with the relevant Operating Budget votes that have to be completed by departments (Excel file named "2017-18 OPEX BUDGET Name of the Department").

The IDP ward development priorities (which normally form part of the attachments) are not attached as part of the Budget Guidelines. The document containing the IDP ward development priorities will be forwarded to departments as soon as the IDP consultation process which starts on the 11th October and ends on 19th October 2016 has been concluded.


On the 6th October 2016, MMC Finance issued a letter to MMCs of all the departments to compile their 5-year Manifesto Plans. Departments must ensure that their 5-year Manifesto Plans are incorporated in their budgets submissions.


2. KEY DEADLINES FOR THE COMPILATION OF THE BUDGET

The item detailing key deadlines for the compilation of the budget was approved by Council in September 2016. The key deadlines were based on the dates as prescribed in the MFMA.

The following diagram was extracted from National Treasury guidelines and further enhanced to indicate the timelines of the budget process:

Budget Process as per MFMA





A detailed action plan was compiled to adhere to the above timelines of the budget process as follows:

Date	Main Activity	Actions		
Report for the Approval of Schedule of Key Deadlines				
29-Sep	Council meeting	Approval of Report on Schedule of Key Deadlines		
	Budget / IDP Priorities for 20			
	3	Caucus Lekgotla to set priorities for		
	Caucus Lekgotla	IDP / Budget cycle		
September/October	Compile framework for CIF process			
Ocptemben/October	SMT Lekgotla			
	Draft MTREF Budget Policy Framework	Update of the Medium Term Budget Policy		
	Issuing Budget Instruction Letter to	Budget Office to compile detail actions and list of issues which must be addressed in the letter		
14-Oct	departments (both Opex and Capex)	Must include Adjustment Budget request on the letter		
		Must address the Mayoral Lekgotla, Nat & Prov priorities,		
	IDP Reviews with the commi	unity		
44.0 4 40.0 4	Strategy and Corporate planning department to arrange meetings with Councilors	Meetings with Ward Councilors will take place from 11 to 19 October 2016 for signing off identified ward priorities		
11 Oct - 19 Oct	Meetings with Ward Committees	Identifying the ward priority needs		
	Strategy department to give feedback to department regarding IDP needs	Submit outcomes of IDP needs to departments on the 21st October 2016.		
	Compilation of Draft Budgets by De	epartments		
14-Oct-16	Files to be forwarded to the Departments with Budget Instruction letter			
14 Oct - 28 Oct	Departments obtain Input on Budgets (both Opex and Capex)	Departments to compile the budget requests taking into consideration the guidelines and outcomes of the IDP review. The requests for Adjustment Budget must also be compiled.		
14 000 - 20 000	(Sour Open and Oapen)			
14 Oct - 28 Oct	Departments to consider the IDP needs of Ward Committees as identified	Review the IDP needs identified by Ward Committees from the recent ward committee meetings		
14 Oct - 28 Oct	Departments to consolidate their budget submissions	Consolidate Budget Input for submission of one Opex Budget and one Capex budget to Finance. This include the Adjustment Budgets		

Date Main Activity Action		Actions	
		requests	
	Final Submission of Budgets to	Final date to submit Budget Inputs to Finance Department (new budget	
28-Oct	Finance	and adjustment budget requests)	
		Finance Department in collaboration	
44.0-1.00.0-1	Occasional and Control and Control and Control	with departments is compiling	
14 Oct - 28 Oct	Compilation of Supplementary Budgets	supplementary Budgets	
Con	solidation of First Draft Budget and Adjust	i i	
28 Oct - 04 Nov	Consolidation of First Draft Budget and	This include preliminary balancing as well as source of funding	
20 Oct - 04 1107	Adjustment Budget Requests	Consolidate departmental input	
		To review Total Budget Request prior	
07 - 11 Nov	IBALCO & Budget Steering Committee	to any refinement / balancing	
	librates a Baager Steering Committee	Review adjustment budget request	
	1 – 7 November - CIF capturing with		
	departments (refer to the attached		
	schedule of meetings)	CIF prioritization and evaluation of	
01 - 18 Nov	9 – 18 November - Evaluation: One on	Capex projects by Committee	
	one departmental engagements	To give feedback to departments	
	Review of Opex Budget with Department	regarding the proposed/balanced	
	(Budget Information Forum)	budgets	
	Compilation of the First Draft Bu	dget / IDP	
21 - 25 Nov	Final balancing of the Budget	Finalize Capital Budget	
21 201101	That balanoing of the baaget	Finalize Operating Budget	
		To consider the Adjustment Budget	
		Requests (both Opex and Capex)	
28 Nov - 02 Dec	IBALCO & Budget Steering Committee	To consider the First Draft Budget	
		(only numbers) To consider all draft tariff schedules	
		To consider all draft policies	
		Complete final Opex, Capex, tariffs	
05 Dec - 09 Dec	Finance to complete Final Balancing	and policy changes	
		Commence with completion of NT	
12 Dec - 23 Dec		tables and narratives on the budge	
	NT tables and Budget book	book	
	The final item for Adjustment	Buaget	
03 - 06 Jan	CFO to review the adjustment budget requests	Both Oney and Caney	
00 - 00 Jan	iequesis	Both Opex and Capex To consider changes made to Opex,	
	IBALCO	Capex, tariffs, policies and on	
09 - 11 Jan		adjustment budget	
US - 11 Jaii		To consider changes made to Opex,	
	Budget Steering Committee	Capex, tariffs, policies and on	
		adjustment budget	
10 10 100	Compile Reports to Council	Item for Adjustment Budget Compilation of Mid Term reports	
12 - 18 Jan		To consider and approve Adjustment	
26-Jan	Council meeting	Budget	
20 0011		To consider Mid Term report	
	1	1 7 7	

Date	Main Activity	Actions		
Date	Tabling of the Draft Budg			
	labiling of the brait budg	Adjustment budget figures to be		
		incorporated into the NT tables and		
		the draft budget books be finalised.		
		Item for draft Budget/IDP for Mayoral		
30 Jan - 03 Feb	Complete NT Tables and the budget book	Cluster		
06 - 09 Feb	CFO to review the draft budget books	Annexures must also be reviewed		
10-Feb	Compile Report to Council	Item for draft Budget/IDP		
15-Feb	MAYCO			
23-Feb	Council meeting	To table Draft Budget/IDP		
		Request inputs on Mid-year		
During February	NT Mid-Year Budget & Performance	assessment from department.		
Daning Fobracity	Assessment	Submission of presentation to NT a		
		week prior to the visit		
	Budget/IDP Consultations with Oversig			
	Logiclature to distribute draft Budget/IDD	Finance to adjust the draft budget with Dora development prior to it being		
	Legislature to distribute draft Budget/IDP to oversight committees	submitted to the committees		
	to oversight committees			
	Oversight Committee meetings	Recommendations will be obtained from Oversight committees		
	Oversight Committee meetings	Legislature to compile the		
During March		consolidated report for submission to		
		council. Report to be also submitted to		
		Finance Department for them to adjust		
	Consolidation of Oversight	the draft Budget/IDP accordingly/		
	recommendations on Budget/IDP	where necessary.		
	IBALCO & Budget Steering Committee	To consider changes resulting from		
		Oversight report		
Budget/IDP Public Participations/ Budget tip Campaign				
	Distribution of draft budget books to pay points and libraries			
	Preparation of presentation for public			
During April	participations			
During April	Meetings with MMC's to review			
	presentations			
	Public participation meetings			
	Make Final changes on the Budget/IDP			
Benchmarking and Budget approval				
		Presentations to be compiled and		
	Benchmarking with NT	submitted to NT a week prior to		
		benchmarking		
During May	Complete Final NT Tables	T		
	IBALCO & Budget Steering Committee	To consider changes to Final Budget/IDP		
	Budget approval			

The Final Budget/IDP will then be considered and approved by Council at the end of May 2017.

Departments must take note of the detailed budget program and make sure they put controls in place to meet the key deadlines and also attend the Budget Information Forums / Capital Investment Framework meetings to be scheduled during November 2016.

3. STRATEGIC ALIGNMENT OF THE BUDGET

In order to ensure integrated and focused service delivery between all spheres of government, it is important for the City to align its budget priorities with that of national and provincial government.

The 2017/18-2019/20 Operating and Capital Budget will in particular, be based on the following strategic documents and departments must make reference to these strategic documents when compiling their budgets:

- ✓ Ekurhuleni Service Delivery Statement
- ✓ EMM GDS 2055
- ✓ Priorities identified by departments. These priorities relate to the input of each department at the various Lekgotla's that took place in September/October 2016. Officials must ensure they obtain the priorities from their respective HOD before they complete the Adjustment Budget and new budget requests.
- ✓ IDP needs as identified by ward committees.

National Treasury will only issue a budget guideline for the new financial year in December 2016. As mentioned in the previous circulars municipalities should not wait to commence with the budget compilation until the guideline is issued. Municipalities must compile their budgets based on the MTREF guidelines issued in the previous years.

4. IDP GUIDELINES FOR RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS

The budget that is to be compiled must give effect to the strategic priorities of the municipality for the next five years, starting from 2017/18 financial year as guided by the Strategy and Corporate Planning department. The department is currently in the process of compiling a separate IDP development guidelines for departments to comply with in compiling their individual budgets; such specific guidelines will be issued on the **Friday 21 October 2016.**

The following key IDP framers/informants, amongst others, have been identified to inform resource/budget requests by departments:

- The National Development Plan;
- Gauteng 10 Pillars of Radical Transformation;
- EMM Growth and Development Strategy (GDS) 2055;
- Mayoral Lekgotla Priorities of September 2016; and
- Community identified needs / ward development priorities.

Details of the above will be outlined in the IDP guidelines to be issued as indicated. It is absolutely critical that departments review the above in details and must ensure (where possible) that these are meaningfully (not superficially) addressed when compiling their budget. Special reference is made to the IDP needs which has to be addressed in the Capital budget. Departments must unbundle the capital budget to address specific IDP needs. Please refer to the paragraph regarding the Capital Budget.

5. LINKING IDP TO THE 2017/18 MTREF BUDGET

National Treasury indicated that the entire budget must be linked to the IDP. The key importance of linking the IDP to the Budget is that it influences financial decisions of a municipality to be based on its objectives and plans. IDP Office is in the process of finalising the draft IDP and the department will be required to complete the linking thereof in due cause.

6. COMPLIANCE AND IMPLEMENTATION OF STANDARD CHART OF ACCOUNTS (SCOA)

The fundamental aim of the SCOA regulation is to improve municipal accountability by standardising the classification of budgeting, financial and reporting elements across all South African municipalities. Among the requirements of SCOA is that operational and capital budgeting must be project-based, and therefore

be aligned to the IDP. This means that all financial activities must be structured to advance and support the strategic objectives, being the IDP.

6.1 Refinement of vote numbers

The recent refinement was on the Operating Budget vote numbers. As indicated during the SCOA refinement sessions with the departments, some of the projects codes and the line items have been corrected to be SCOA compliant.

Furthermore, departments are required to provide detailed budgets on the following line items:

- ✓ Travelling and Subsistence (domestic and foreign) The line items will be part of the supplementary budgets due to the substantial information required
- ✓ Consultant fees (detailed split on operating professional fees and capital planning)
- ✓ Special events

Refinement of vote numbers is a continuous process to ensure full compliance with the SCOA requirements.

7. DEMAND MANAGEMENT PLANS / PROCUREMENT PLANS

Departments are required to compile detailed procurement plans in line with their budget requests. The budget instruction in this regard as well as the template will be issued to departments in due course.

8. 2016/17 ADJUSTMENT BUDGET TO BE PART OF BUDGET COMPILATION

In terms of the Schedule of Key Deadlines the 2016/17 Adjustment Budget will be tabled and considered by Council in January 2017. It is therefore important to run the process concurrently with the 2017/18 MTREF Budget which will be considered by Council in February 2017.

The Operating Budget Adjustment request should be completed in the attached template named "Template - Adjustment Budget for 2016-17 OPEX.

Capital Budget Adjustment

The Capital Budget Adjustment requests must also be completed on the same file used to complete the 2017/18 – 2019/20 capital budget requests.

You are requested to do the following:

- 1. Column AJ Indicate the amounts not to be utilized in the current financial year
- 2. Column AK Indicate the additional amounts requested
- 3. Column AL Please ensure that a comprehensive motivation is supplied as to why the adjustment is necessary

Where new projects are added, kindly complete all relevant columns e.g. CCA, Region, ward no., National Outcomes etc. Departments must note that there should not be any expectations for additional funding to be appropriated; savings must be identified to accommodate the additional requests.

9. PROCESS OF CAPITAL BUDGET SUBMISSIONS FOR 2017/18 – 2019/20 MTREF

9.1 Requirements for 2017/18 – 2019/20 Capital Budget

The evaluation of the project proposals will be based on the following criteria:

- Compliance with the USDG Framework and Housing Strategy projects to be evaluated by the Human Settlements and City Planning Departments.
- Compliance with the Approved Built Environment Performance Plan/Capital Investment Framework (CIF) projects to be evaluated by the City Planning Department with the following requirements:
 - ✓ Project Status to be reflected **Column BU** Feasibility, Procurement / Contracting, Construction / Implementation.
 - ✓ Output Narrative / Short Project Description (Column N must be completed in this regard)
 - ✓ Revised Budget figures for 2017/18 Column AQ, 2018/19 Column AU, and new budget for 2019/20 Column AV must be completed.
 - ✓ Project start and end date (Column AZ and BA to be completed)
 - ✓ Consolidated project details
 - ✓ GPS co-ordinates/property description of the project as per requirement from National Treasury in terms of form SA 36 (Column AA and AB must be completed).
 - [City Planning GIS Division will assist departments in obtaining project locality and area of influence information during the 2016 Mapping exercise (dates to be communicated). Departments are required to note and make use of the Capital Prioritization Model User Manual 2016 (Section B, pages 28 32). Departments can contact Ms. Carmen Paulsen for further details on 011 999-3360 or email at carmen.paulsen@ekurhuleni.gov.za]
 - ✓ Projects for mapping include:
 - New projects on the budget over the multi-year budget period;
 - Projects that were not mapped during the 2015 mapping exercise;
 - Projects that were unbundled and require mapping to collate with the unbundled projects;
 - Any infrastructure projects that have previously been mapped to a department's corporate office.
 - ✓ GIS will generate a shapefile and unique GIS code (shapefile reference number) per mapped project.
 - ✓ GIS will assist departments in the Identification of the affected ward per project Column V
 - ✓ Retention of the shapefile GIS code generated during the 2015 mapping exercise Column
 X
 - ✓ Retention of the CIF geographic priority areas (1, 2, 3, or outside priority area) allocated during 2015 mapping exercise Column W
 - ✓ Retention of the Capital Prioritization weighting variables.
 - ✓ Capital Prioritization Model scoring criteria for completion as per Columns BX → DB. New projects added to the budget must complete the scoring criteria. Please refer to the Capital Prioritization Model user manual 2016 (section A, pages 19 27) for guidance on the completion of the prioritization criteria. Departments can contact Ms. Carmen Paulsen for further details on 011 999-3360 or email at carmen.paulsen @ekurhuleni.gov.za]

Important for Noting:

- i. Departmental project managers must take the responsibility to attend the mapping exercises and provide accurate mapping information (locality and area of influence) to the GIS division.
- ii. The GIS division will then only provide the generated mapping information (co-ordinates, GIS code, priority areas, and Ward) to Finance for inclusion into the budget.

- iii. The draft capital budget mapping session will take place from 1 4 November 2016 (please refer to the 2016 mapping schedule (formal communication will be sent out to the departments).
- iv. Departments must come prepared to the mapping sessions (i.e. please send the correct representative(s) that are knowledgeable on the departments existing and new projects.
- v. Departments are requested to provide accurate identification of a projects locality, and to avoid mapping projects to a department's corporate office or over an entire region or Metro.
- vi. Departments are required to fully complete the weighting criteria as directed through the CPM user manual 2016 (Section A, pages 19 27).
- vii. Departments are also encouraged to make use of the CIF Viewer on the intranet as an additional aid in providing mapping related and preplanning support to project managers. The CIF viewer can be accessed via the following link http://196.31.217.71/flexviewers/CIF/ (all Layers in the GIS viewer that are in CAPITAL LETTTERS have sublayers that need to be switched on individually). Please note that an update of the viewer will be available on 14 October 2016, reflective of the new ward boundaries. (For any GIS related queries contact Philip Viljoen on 011 999 4039).
- Practical implementation projects to be evaluated by the EPMO Department. Reflect the category
 of project as per the stages in the Stage gate Model. (Feasibility, Procurement / Contracting,
 Construction / Implementation). Column BU
 - ✓ Feasibility involves investigating the viability of the project, perhaps through studies, developing the different components of the selected project solution which include: scope, execution plan, and estimates etc.
 - ✓ Procurement / Contracting comprises of implementing the procurement strategy, commencing the tender processes to on-board the relevant contractors.
 - ✓ Construction / Implementation involves implementing the agreed design within time and according to budget and other defined requirements. This may include successful commissioning and handover to relevant stakeholders. The outcome should ensure that all aspects of the project are successfully completed and signed off and the Project Closeout and Handover Requirements are implemented
- Economic impact of projects projects to be evaluated by the Economic Development Department.

9.2 General

- Project descriptions for vehicles must be indicated as being either "two seats or less" or "more than
 two seats", whereby "two seats or less" will have VAT implication and "more than two seats" won't
 have VAT implication. This information must be provided in project name column.
 - ✓ Cognizance should be taken that departments will still be afforded the opportunity to move funds between the two vehicles votes should the need arise.
- Departments are advised that all items with an expected lifespan of more than one year and a cost price of R1 000 or more must be capitalized and as such included in the capital budget. Items that will not last longer than a year or with a cost price of less than R1 000 are regarded as consumable items and must be funded from the operating budget.
 - ✓ The R1 000 threshold must be tested against the unit price of the item concerned as opposed to buying the items in large number
- Operating costs and revenue it is <u>compulsory</u> for the departments to quantify the future operating cost of the project as well as revenue which will be generated from the projects (As per

- Circular 62). For staff costs, separate sheet detailing the number of staff as well as their levels is required. Detailed additional cost requirements to operationalize the facility are also required on a separate sheet. Column BB

 BG must be completed in this regard.
- Departments to clarify the project category (Column O) and if the project is for new or for the renewal of infrastructure as per the following definitions from National Treasury reflected in the Capital Investment Framework:
 - Urban Restructuring: Eradication of Historical Backlogs (Physical Infrastructure):
 Eradication of Infrastructure for existing backlogs. Eradication of Historical Backlogs (Social Facilities): Eradication of Infrastructure for existing backlogs.

O Upgrading and Renewal:

- Renewal of Existing Assets: Remaining useful life is extended due to aging of infrastructure. This does not mean the capacity is extended.
- Upgrading of Existing Assets to extend existing bulk capacity: To extend bulk capacity purely for existing network.

o Economic Development:

- To stimulate new Economic Growth: To extend bulk purely for new development where growth will be stimulated.
- Income Generating: Purely Income Generating Projects.
- Departments must indicate the CCA Column T, Township Column Y, Erf number Column Z and the Ward in which the project is located Column V of each capital project in terms of regulation 15 (1) (b), must be used in this regard.
- Department must also update the project manager's details as well as contact details. Columns K
 and L.
- National Outcomes Twelve National Outcomes have been adopted and these are politically determined priorities of government as derived from the election manifesto of the ruling party and the Medium Term Strategic Framework (MTSF). The departments are therefore requested to indicate how their projects contribute to the National Outcomes. Column P is to be used for this purpose. The following 12 outcomes were agreed upon after a rigorous process of consultation across government:

Number	Outcome Statement
1	Improved quality of basic education;
2	A long and healthy life for all South Africans;
3	All people in South Africa are and feel safe
4	Decent employment through inclusive economic growth;
5	A skilled and capable workforce to support and inclusive growth path
6	An efficient, competitive and responsive economic infrastructure network
7	Vibrant, equitable and sustainable rural communities with food security for all;
8	Sustainable human settlements and improved quality of household life
9	A responsive, accountable, effective and efficient local government system
10	Environmental assets and natural resources that are well protected and continually enhanced;
11	Create a better South Africa and contribute to a better and safer Africa and World

Number	Outcome Statement
12	An efficient, effective and development oriented public service and an empowered, fair
	and inclusive citizenship

10. PRACTICAL PROCEDURE IN COMPLETING THE 2017/18 OPERATING BUDGET FILE

10.1 Supplementary Budgets

Supplementary budgets relate to the compilation of certain budget line items as a separate process. i.e. salary budget. The following is a list of the supplementary budgets and responsible officials:

Supplementary	Responsible Manager	Responsible Person for budget and support
Admin cost	Magdeline Sephesu	Meriam Rahlano
Bad Debt Provision	Magdeline Sephesu	Magdeline Sephesu
Depreciation	Amanda van Wyk	Amanda van Wyk
Departmental Usage - Services & Other	Magdeline Sephesu	Meriam Rahlano
Entertainment	Amanda van Wyk	Queen Makhanye
Grants – Capital	Amanda van Wyk	Zanele Mandita
Grants - Operational	Amanda van Wyk	Zanele Mandita
Interest Income	Amanda van Wyk	Amanda van Wyk
Interest Payable	Amanda van Wyk	Amanda van Wyk (Tiny Molefe)
Internal Labour Charges	Magdeline Sephesu	Meriam Rahlano
License Software	Jerome Patience	Zanoxolo Magalela
Salary Budget	Magdeline Sephesu	Queen Makhanye
Training	Amanda van Wyk	Queen Makhanye
Travelling & Subsistence	Magdeline Sephesu	Magdeline Sephesu
Vehicles	Magdeline Sephesu	Eric Dlamini

The responsible officials listed above will in certain instances issue separate Budget Guidelines to departments in order to compile the supplementary budgets.

10.2 Main Budgets

Attached to this letter is each department's Operating Budget (income and expenditure) file which must be completed and submitted to Budget Office on or before the due date.

The file excludes the supplementary votes as discussed above.

Details of various columns and the process to complete the budget file are as follows:

Column	Name of Column	Purpose	Instruction
Α	Type of Vote	Budget is compiled at the	For information
	P = Posting/Transacting	posting level	
	vote		
В	Vote Number	Supplied for assistance	Supplied for assistance
С	Cost Centre	Supplied for assistance	Supplied for assistance
D	Name of Department	Responsible Department	Supplied for assistance

Column	Name of Column	Purpose	Instruction
E-H	Vote details with the description as per the financial system	Supplied for assistance	Supplied for assistance
I	SCOA description	Line item description to be aligned to SCOA requirements with effect from 1 st July 2017	For information
J&K	Project details	Vote numbers are defined by the project details	Supplied for assistance
M - O	Financial history	Supplied for assistance	Supplied for assistance
Р	Original Budget for 2016/17 Financial Year	For information only	None - use as a guidance
Q	2016/17 Amended Budget	Original Budget plus Amendments processed to date	None - use as a guidance
R	YTD Movements	Actuals for 3 months	None – use as a guidance
Т	Budget request for 2017/18 Financial Year	To obtain input from each department	Each department must complete the budget request.
U	% Increase/Decrease	Indicates the Budget to Budget % increase	None – use as a guidance
V	Indicator for Motivation	Prompted message if % increase is more than inflation rate	Supplied for assistance.
W	Comprehensive Motivation	Motivation to substantiate the budget request	A detailed motivation must be supplied if % increase is more than inflation rate.
X	CCA indicator	To indicate Customer Care Area utilizing the vote number. Information to assist with refinement of the SCOA Regional Segment	Departments to select from the drop down list.
Y	Flagship Indicator	To indicate whether the budget required in Column T relates to flagship projects.	Departments to select from the drop down list.
Z	Votes Closed	To inform the departments of vote numbers not in use	Departments are urged not to budget on the vote numbers highlighted with RED in Column T

Departments are required to input their budget request in **column T** as indicated in the above table. The percentage increase/decrease will be calculated in column U. If the % increase exceeds the inflation rate of 5%, a detailed motivation **must** be supplied in column W.

Please ensure that the budget file you receive contain the budget of all the cost centres in your department. Any omissions or incorrect allocations must be brought to the attention of the Budget Office.

11. BUDGET OFFICE REPRESENTATIVES OF DEPARTMENTS

Departments are requested to liaise directly with the relevant Budget Office representative allocated to your department. The relevant official will ensure that your query be addressed or directed to the official that can assist the department.

The following is a list of the Operating Budget representatives:

Departments	Responsible Manager	Responsible Person for budget and support
CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER: DELIVERY CO- ORDINATION	Magdeline Sephesu	Meriam Rahlano
CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE	Magdeline Sephesu	Eric Dlamini
CITY PLANNING	Jerome Patience	Aubrey Mayaba
COMMUNICATION AND BRAND MANAGEMENT	Jerome Patience	Aubrey Mayaba
CORPORATE LEGAL	Amanda van Wyk	Malusi Zwedala
CUSTOMER RELATIONS MANAGEMENT	Magdeline Sephesu	Eric Dlamini
DISASTER AND EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT	Amanda van Wyk	Amanda van Wyk
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	Jerome Patience	Aubrey Mayaba
EKURHULENI METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT	Amanda van Wyk	Amanda van Wyk
ENERGY	Magdeline Sephesu	Meriam Rahlano
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT	Jerome Patience	Aubrey Mayaba
EPMO - ENTERPRISE PROJECT MONITORING OFFICE	Amanda van Wyk	Amanda van Wyk
EXECUTIVE OFFICE	Magdeline Sephesu	Malusi Zwedala
FINANCE	Magdeline Sephesu	Magdeline Sephesu
FLEET MANAGEMENT	Jerome Patience	Zanoxolo Magalela
HEALTH AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT	Magdeline Sephesu	Eric Dlamini
HUMAN RESOURCES	Amanda van Wyk	Queen Makhanye
HUMAN SETTLEMENTS	Amanda van Wyk	Malusi Zwedala
INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY (CIO)	Amanda van Wyk	Queen Makhanye
INTERNAL AUDIT (Chief Audit Executive)	Amanda van Wyk	Queen Makhanye
LEGISLATURE	Magdeline Sephesu	Malusi Zwedala
REAL ESTATE / FACILITIES MANAGEMENT	Magdeline Sephesu	Eric Dlamini
RISK MANAGEMENT	Jerome Patience	Zanoxolo Magalela
ROADS AND STORMWATER	Jerome Patience	Zanoxolo Magalela
SRAC	Magdeline Sephesu	Meriam Rahlano

STRATEGY & CORPORATE PLANNING	Jerome Patience	Zanoxolo Magalela
TRANSPORT	Jerome Patience	Zanoxolo Magalela
WASTE MANAGEMENT	Amanda van Wyk	Malusi Zwedala
WATER AND SANITATION	Magdeline Sephesu	Meriam Rahlano

The representative for the Capital Budget are as follows:

Lovedalia Selabe – (011) 999 – 7433 (Executive Manager) Zanele Mandita – (011) 999 – 6791 (Grants Manager)

Tshepo Mofokeng - (011) 999 - 7408 (Capex) Nozipho Dlamini - (011) 999 - 7437 (Grants)

12. COMPLETION OF THE BUDGET: DUE DATE

The relevant documents required for the completion of the Capital Budget, Operating Budget and Adjustment Budget must be completed and submitted to your department's Budget Office representative as listed above.

<u>ALL DOCUMENTATION AS REQUIRED MUST BE SUBMITTED BY NO LATER THAN 28 OCTOBER</u> 2016.

Due to limited time to complete the budget no extension of the deadline will be allowed.

Finance Department wants to thank all the departments for the support in the compilation of the budget. The successful compilation of the budget depends as usual on your assistance.

Thank You

RAMASELA GANDA GROUP CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

APPENDIX A1






TABLE OF CONTENTS

GLOS	SSARY	III
SECT	ION A: CAPITAL PRIORITISATION MODEL – USER MANUAL	1
1.	NTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND TO THE MANUAL	2
1.1	Why this manual	2
1.2	DEFINING PROJECT PRIORITISATION	3
1.3	THE PURPOSE OF PROJECT EVALUATION AND THE PRIORITISATION MODEL	3
1.4	THE BENEFITS OF A CAPITAL PRIORITISATION MODEL	4
2. T	THE CAPITAL PRIORITISATION MODEL PROCESS	5
2.1	DEPARTMENTAL APPRAISAL (TIER 1)	6
2.2		
2.3	ALLOCATION AND IMPLEMENTATION (TIER 3)	11
3. Т	THE PRIORITISATION MODEL METHODOLOGY AND SCORING SYSTEM	13
3.1	METHODOLOGY FOR DETERMINING THE CPM VARIABLES	13
3.2	THE CPM VARIABLES DEFINED	14
3.3	THE WEIGHTING AND SCORING SYSTEM	15
3.4	THE CAPITAL PRIORITISATION MODEL SUMMARY	18
SECT	ION B: MAPPING GUIDELINES	28
1.	Purpose of mapping projects	28
2.	PROJECTS TO BE MAPPED	28
3.	MAPPING PROCESS	
4.	MAPPING GUIDELINES – PROJECT LOCALITY	29
5.	MAPPING GUIDELINES – PROJECT AREA OF INFLUENCE	29





GLOSSARY

Basic Healthcare

Basic healthcare can be defined as the minimum degree of healthcare considered to be necessary to maintain adequate health and protection from disease. In South Africa this is the basic healthcare service offered free by the state. According to the Constitution, everyone has the right to have access to basic health care services. Thus provide access to appropriate, efficient, effective and quality health services, in order to improve and promote people's health.

Source: Adapted from World Health Organisation, 2014

2. Basic Service Delivery

Municipalities are required to provide at least the basic services to their communities. Basic services provided by the government include water supply, sewage collection and disposal, refuse removal, electricity and gas supply, roads and storm water drainage, street lighting, municipal parks and recreation, safety, security, heath care, and social well-being.

Source: Adapted from Municipal Systems Act, 2000 (Act No 32 of 2000)

3. Basic Skills development

Basic skills development can be described as actions taken to facilitate the delivery of sector-specific skills interventions that help improve productivity in the workplace and the competitiveness of businesses. It furthermore improves the quality of life of workers, their prospects of work and their mobility.

Source: Adapted from an Article by D. Elphick-Moore, on Entrepreneurmag.co.za, Skills Development Defined, 2012.

4. Climate Adaptation and Mitigation

Refers to a structure and using process that is environmentally responsible and resource-efficient throughout a building's life-cycle: from siting to design, construction, operation, maintenance, renovation, and demolition.

Adaptation refers to adjustments in ecological, social, or economic systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli and their effects or impacts. It refers to changes in processes, practices, and structures to moderate potential damages or to benefit from opportunities associated with climate change.

Adaptation aims to lessen the adverse impacts of climate change trough a wide range of system-specific actions, mitigation looks at limiting climate change by reducing the emissions of greenhouse gasses for example.

Source: Adapted from Global Greenhouse Warming, Defining Climate Mitigation and Adaptation, 2015





5. Conservation Value

The protection, preservation, management, or restoration of natural environments and the ecological communities that inhabit them. Conservation is generally held to include the management of human use of natural resources for current public benefit and sustainable social and economic utilisation. Development is undertaken in a manner that sustains biodiversity and natural resources in the EMM.

Source: EMM Environmental Policy, 2012

6. Direct Contribution

Intended primary benefit created as a result of the development. The primary goal of the project to create the specific benefit.

7. Economic Growth

The steady process by which the productive capacity of the economy is increased over time to bring about rising levels of national output and income.

8. Economic Multiplier Effect

The relationship between the initial spending (investment) and the total effects generated by the spending is known as the multiplier effect of the sector, or more generally as the impact of the sector on the economy as a whole. Thus the economic multiplier effect occurs when an initial injection into the economy causes a bigger final increase in national income

9. Economic Sectors

Agriculture - Include establishments that are primarily engaged in farming activities, commercial hunting, game propagation and forestry, logging and fishing).

Mining - Includes the extracting and beneficiating of minerals occurring naturally, including solids, liquids and crude petroleum and gases. It also includes underground and surface mines, quarries and the operation of oil and gas wells as well as all supplemental activities for dressing and beneficiating of ores and other crude material):

Manufacturing – Manufacturing is defined as the physical or chemical transformation of materials or compounds into new products. The manufacturing sector represents an important economic and employment sector in any economy. The sector also serve as catalyst for supporting economic activities contributing to economic growth within an area and positive spinoff effects on the whole economy.

Utilities – It includes electricity, water and gas. It includes the production, collection and distribution of electricity; the manufacturing of gas; the distribution of gaseous fuels through mains; the collection, purification and distribution of water; and the construction of infrastructure and buildings.

Construction – Buildings and construction involves residential building activities; non-residential building; roads, streets and bridges; water schemes and works; sewerage; and other construction activities





Trade – The resale (sale without transformation) of new and used goods to the general public for personal or household consumption or use by shops, department stores, stalls, mailorder houses, hawkers and peddlers, consumer co-operatives, etc. This sector also includes the catering and accommodation activities within an economy.

Transport and Communication – Providing passenger or freight transport, whether scheduled or not, by rail, road, water or air and auxiliary activities such as terminal and parking facilities, cargo handling and storage, postal activities and telecommunications.

Finance and Business Services – Activity of obtaining and redistributing funds, other than for the purpose of insurance, real estate or commercial/business services. Real estate includes the buying, selling, renting and operating of owned or leased real estate, such as flats and dwellings and non-residential buildings; developing and subdividing real estate into lots, etc. Also included are land-jobbers (i.e. property speculators) and the development and sale of land. Business services in this sector refer to the renting of transport equipment and other machinery such as agricultural, construction, computer, and household equipment.

Community, Social and Personal Services - Include general activities of community organisations (NGOs), recreational, cultural and sporting activities, and other community, social and personal services.

General Government Services - Include general activities of central, provincial and local government such as health and social work, education, infrastructure provision etc. This includes sewage and refuse removal, sanitation and similar activities and military and navy activities.

Source: Adapted from StatsSA, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) of all Economic Activities, 7th Edition, 2012

10. Economic variables

It is important that the project contributes to economic growth and development within the area. Therefore this variable determines the project's ability to contribute to economic growth, deliver rateable assets, create employment opportunities, and generate income for the local government.

11. Environmental Management Plan (EMP)

An EMP is a site-specific plan developed to ensure that all necessary measures are identified and implemented in order to protect the environment and comply with environmental legislation. Furthermore it can be described as the synthesis of all proposed mitigations and monitoring actions, set to a timeline with specific responsibility assigned and follow-up actions defined. The EMP is one of the most important outputs of the environmental assessment process.

Source: Fair Trade Tourism, Resource Centre, Landcom - What is an EMP, 2013





12. Environmental variables

This variable gives an indication of the impact of the project on the environment locally and within the urban region/regional ecosystem. It identifies the potential environmental benefits and costs of the project and gives higher scores to those projects that make an improvement to living standards, public health and a green environment.

13. Indirect Contribution

Unintended secondary benefit created as result of the development, not the primary goal of the project, i.e. downstream benefits or complementary benefits.

14. Job Creation during Operational Phase (OPEX) / Sustainable Job Creation Sustainable job creation, is the creation of permanent employment, which will lead to increased quality of life of individuals. It therefore excludes employment created during construction phase of projects (due to the temporary nature of these employment opportunities). This is the estimated number of people that will be employed during the operational phase of the project.

15. Job Creation during the Construction Phase (CAPEX) / Temporary Job Creation This refers to the creation of temporary employment. It therefore excludes employment created during operational phase of projects. This is the estimated number of people that will be temporarily employed during the construction phase of the project.

16. Leverage Potential

The "Cotton-on" Effect – The project's ability to create a strong magnet and nodal anchor by attracting and stimulating further growth in investment (productive income generating assets). Further development of ancillary and down-stream projects.

17. Policy alignment variable

Projects are assessed to determine their alignment with specific legislative and strategic documents, on a national, regional and local level. This variable also considers the alignment of projects with the CIF priority areas and project categories.

18. Programme versus Projects

A programme consists of a number of different project items. Whereas the project is described as the individual line item and could form part of a programme.

19. Public Safety

Provide safety through effective co-ordination of crime prevention initiative, provincial police oversight, traffic management and road safety towards a more secure environment.

20. Rateable Assets

A project's / investment's ability to create additional annual rates and taxes, resulting in increased revenue for government.





21. Social Variables

Projects with an explicit focus on increasing community well-being by means of delivering or contributing to certain social services (i.e. education, health, etc.) will receive priority / higher scoring. The social variable is also contained / addressed in the economic variable aspect, especially with respect to job creation and the social benefits associated with increased employment.

22. Spatial Structuring Elements

The Spatial Structuring Elements identified for the purpose of the Capital Prioritisation Model, include the following:

- ✓ Core Nodes
- Primary Nodes
- ✓ Secondary Nodes
- ✓ TOD Nodes (include the BRT and Passenger Rails Stations)
- ✓ IRPTN / Transport Corridors
- ✓ Industrial Areas
- ✓ Infill Housing

A more in-depth description of these Spatial Structuring Elements can be found in the MSDF.





SECTION A: CAPITAL PRIORITISATION MODEL - USER MANUAL

The purpose of this section is to outline the user manual for the Capital Prioritisation Model to be used to prioritise the Ekurhuleni Metro's Capital Projects for the **2017/18**, **2018/19 and 2019/20** financial year. The manual structured into four sub-sections, namely:

1. Introduction and Background to the Manual

This section of the manual provides an introduction and background to the manual. The purpose and benefits of the prioritisation model is also outlined in this section.

2. The Capital Prioritisation Model Process

In this section of the manual, the Three Tier Project Prioritisation Framework is outlined under the following headings:

- ✓ Departmental Appraisal (Tier 1)
- ✓ CIF/Budget Evaluation (Tier 2)
- ✓ Allocation and Implementation (Tier 3)

3. The Prioritisation Model Methodology and Scoring System

The Capital Prioritisation Model, its variables and criteria is summarised in this section, under the following headings:

- ✓ Methodology for Determining the CPM Variables
- ✓ The CPM Variables Defined
- ✓ The Weighting and Scoring System
- ✓ The Capital Prioritisation Model Summary

4. Mapping Requirements for Capital Projects

This section of the manual provides departments with the mapping guidelines to apply when mapping a capital project on the budget for the 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/2020 financial years that includes:

- What is to be mapped;
- ✓ How the process of capital project mapping is rolled out;
- ✓ The mapping guidelines of a projects locality (line, point or polygon depending on the nature of the service);
- ✓ And the projects area of influence (mapped as a polygon to reflect the larger area/community aimed at benefiting from the service).

Note: Mapping of capital projects is a requirement from National Treasury in terms of Form SA36. Mapping of the projects is also essential in determining the projects weighting as part the Capital Prioritization Process. Projects that do not have a locality may score poorly during the budget evaluation process.

Failure to complete the CPM scoring variables will result in a poor project scoring during the budget evaluation process.



1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND TO THE MANUAL

1.1 WHY THIS MANUAL

Government municipalities and departments are under severe pressure to provide basic services, such as electricity, clean water and sanitation, transportation, education, healthcare, etc. and at the same time more pressure is placed on municipalities to identify development requirements and provide the corresponding infrastructure.



Section 152 (1) of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, Act 108 of 1996, states that the objects of local government are:

- ✓ To provide democratic and accountable government for local communities
- ✓ To ensure the provision of services to communities in a sustainable manner
- ✓ To promote social and economic development
- ✓ To promote a safe and healthy environment
- ✓ To encourage the involvement of communities and community organisations in matters of local government

Thus a municipality should strive, within its financial and administrative capacity to achieve the objectives as set out in the previous paragraph.

Therefore based on these responsibilities of local government it is clear that the Ekurhuleni Metro needs to provide for basic service delivery as well as infrastructure development so as to contribute to the community as well as the economic development of the area. However this should be done within the framework of the municipality's limited revenue sources for investment in capital assets, and therefore a structured framework needs to be developed to ensure that the municipality's budget is effectively and economically implemented. As such there is an urgent need for the EMM to prioritise the vast number of capital projects.

Section 153 (a) of the Constitution indicates that a municipality should structure and manage its administration, budgeting and planning processes to give priority to the basic needs of the community, and to promote the social and economic development of the community.

Evaluating and prioritising capital projects in terms of what needs to be considered as high, first order priority projects can be overwhelming. Since emotions run high when making these kind of decisions, a structured and objective approach is important in achieving consensus, especially in balancing the needs of communities and the needs of government departments, and at the same time contributing to economic growth and sustainability. Furthermore legislative considerations and policy guidelines also influence decision-making with regard to which projects receive priority. Utilization of a prioritisation model is a proven practice used in the decision making and planning process in an objective manner.





The capital prioritisation model in terms of the CIF is also an important tool for spatial rationalisation of the budget, which relates to the principles of the CIF and its function. The National Development Plan starts to give value to the implementation of the CIF and the CPM, in that the NDP refers to spatial targeting trough the utilisation of focused investments to start achieving positive spatial transformation. Therefore the CPM is an important tool in the metro's spatial planning with respect to their Capital Budget and gives a clear indication of where (spatially) investments should take place in order to ensure increased economic growth within the EMM.

1.2 DEFINING PROJECT PRIORITISATION



A prioritisation model is a simple tool that provides a way to sort a diverse set of items / projects into an order of importance. It also identifies their relative importance by deriving a numerical value for the priority of each item / project.

The model provides a means for ranking projects (or project requests) based on criteria that are the most important to focus on first in terms of meeting the Metros overarching developmental objectives and strategies. This also assists in promoting co-ordinated and aligned departmental planning and budgeting.

Project prioritisation can therefore be described as a process for assessing a project against a number of variables such as, economic, social, environmental, legislative and financial variables, in order to determine a capital project's alignment with or contribution to such variables. It provides for a systematic and objective assessment of an ongoing or completed project. All the impacts associated with a capital project are identified, and where possible, costs and benefits valued in monetary terms, so as to ensure that project prioritised and selected by government will provide the maximum net benefit to the community, economy and environment – the balancing effect.

The variables for prioritising capital projects are identified and described in the following paragraphs.

Project prioritisation can be described as a process for assessing a project against a number of variables such as, economic, social, environmental, legislative and financial variables, and combines these to provide an overall assessment of the project.

1.3 THE PURPOSE OF PROJECT EVALUATION AND THE PRIORITISATION MODEL

A. Project Evaluation

The purposes of project evaluation are to improve the quality of services, to ensure value for money, and to *prioritise proposed capital projects*.





This is achieved through a structured process which makes it possible to:

- ✓ Clearly define project objectives, and consider a wide range of options to meet these objectives
- ✓ Link the project to strategic objectives of the local and national government, as well as a department's strategic plans
- ✓ Carry out economic, social and environmental and budgetary analysis
- ✓ Identify the net benefit of the project to the community

Project evaluations assist departments to make decision on proposed capital projects. They provide a means to assess the viability of proposed capital projects, and to rank competing projects for a municipality's multi-year capital budget program.

Project evaluations also facilitate deliberations by the relevant evaluating committee during the budget process. They assist in the selection of projects to be included in the Capital Budget.

B. Project Prioritisation Model

The purpose of the project prioritisation model is to ensure that a municipality's multi-year capital budget program is based on the following principles:

- ✓ Affordability and other relevant cost factors
- ✓ Community needs and service delivery
- ✓ Spatial Rationalisation
- ✓ Local economic and social development
- ✓ Job creation
- ✓ Income potential
- ✓ Urgency
- ✓ Counter funding requirements of conditional grants allocated
- ✓ Legislative requirements
- Coordinated planning and strategy alignment in meeting the overarching developmental objectives of the Metro.

1.4 THE BENEFITS OF A CAPITAL PRIORITISATION MODEL

A prioritisation model supports structured decision-making in the following ways:

- ✓ Helps prioritise complex or unclear issues when there are multiple criteria for determining projects
- ✓ Provides a quick and easy, yet consistent, method for evaluating options
- ✓ Takes some of the emotion out of the process
- ✓ Quantifies the decision with numeric rankings
- ✓ Facilitates reaching agreement on priorities and key issues
- ✓ Establish a platform for conversations about what is important
- ✓ Guide, co-ordinate and align the municipal budget.
- ✓ Provides for a transparent and rationalised budget process.








2. THE CAPITAL PRIORITISATION MODEL PROCESS

The CPM manual identified a three tier approach to project prioritisation for the budget evaluation process. This approach ensures an evaluation of projects in three different stages (Diagram 2.1):

Diagram 2.1: Three Tier Project Prioritisation Model







2.1 DEPARTMENTAL APPRAISAL (TIER 1)

This stage of the model is concerned with the project evaluation within each of the departments within the EMM. The departments within the EMM develops an initial project list of a number of projects important to that department in terms of reaching their objectives and needs.

The individual departments rationalise, plan and prioritise the draft departmental capital budget projects (and that this should be done in cognisance of the CPM weighting criteria)

Each department determines its own unique criteria and weighs those criteria based on values, strategic direction, departmental goals and objectives, available resources, IDP wards needs analysis etc. Projects are then evaluated internally and an initial list of prioritised projects for each department is determined for placement onto the draft capital budget.

It should be determined why certain projects have been recycled back to the departments during this stage of internal evaluation, so as to address the issues in order to ensure that projects can be accepted for the initial project list in the next financial year.

A second phase of project testing is then required (Tier 2). The need for the second phase evaluation process stems from the fact that certain departments do not have their own internal comprehensive prioritisation process.

Therefore an overarching prioritisation model is required, as this will assist with the effective prioritisation of capital projects as part of the budget evaluation process. Projects forming part of the initial project list within a department is then provided to the Special Projects Unit, in order to determine the priority of each of these projects in order to assist in the EMM budget planning process and allocation.





EXCLUSIONARY PROJECTS

A number of projects can be considered as exclusionary projects, which will not go through the CPM evaluation process. These projects include the following:

1. Committed Projects

Projects that have been approved and committed to the 2016/17 MTREF budget will not be re-evaluated through the CPM weighting system.

2. Projects in their planning phase / feasibility stage

Projects in their planning or feasibility phase will not be required to go through the CPM, as they do not at this stage of the project's lifecycle have the necessary information in order to be evaluated against the CPM criteria. Departments should note that even though feasibility study projects will not be passed through the CPM weighting criteria for scoring, the project will still be evaluated by the Operational task team.

THESE PROJECTS WILL BE EVALUATED SEPARATELY WHICH WILL ASSIST IN PRIORITISING THESE PROJECTS FOR FEASIBILITY PURPOSES.

3. Projects to Comply with an EIA

The requirement of an EIA is determined during the inception phase of a project (i.e. during the feasibility study phase). Therefore during the Departmental Appraisal phase, departments will need to consult with the Environmental Resource Department to determine whether an EIA will be required for a specific project.

4. Critical Projects

A critical project could be a project that boasts a catastrophic risk for the metro in terms of significant liability, significant loss of revenue, and significant loss of life. These projects have a very high risk associate if not implemented.

2.2 CIF / BUDGET EVALUATION (TIER 2)

The Operational Task Team has been established to guide and co-ordinate the CIF / Budget evaluation process to determine the ranking order / priority of each project based on the Capital Prioritisation Model.

As part of this tier the following diagram / process will be followed in order to evaluate and score the projects: (Diagram 2.2).





Diagram 2.2: The Capital Prioritisation Model Process for the Delivering City

Capital Prioritisation Model for 2016/17

Capital Prioritisation Model integrating the GDS, IDP, MSDF (and CIF), Budget Guidelines and Sectoral Prioritisation

Allocate Capital Budget into four Project Categories

Urban
Restructuring
30%

Backlog Eradication

Upgrading and Renewal
40%

Upgrading become and Renewal
40%

Economic Development
Interventions
1%
Political Prerogative

STEP 3: Departments <u>submit</u> their draft multi-year capital budgets. (Includes project category, locality and scoring criteria)

STEP 4: <u>Allocate</u> all individual projects as submitted by departments into the CIF (Per project category and Per Priority Area).

	GEOGRAPHIC	PERCENTAGE (%)
PROJECT CATEGORY	PRIORITY AREA	OF 2016/17
		BUDGET
URBAN RESTRUCTURING	GPA 1	
	GPA 2	
	GPA 3	
	OUTSIDE GPA	
	OUTSIDE URBAN EDGE	
	UNMAPPED	
UPGRADING AND RENEWAL	GPA 1	
	GPA 2	
	GPA 3	
	OUTSIDE GPA	
	OUTSIDE URBAN EDGE	
	UNMAPPED	
ECONOMIC	GPA 1	
	GPA 2	
DEVELOPMENT	GPA 3	
	OUTSIDE GPA	
	OUTSIDE URBAN EDGE	
	UNMAPPED	

STEP 5: <u>Score</u> individual capital projects to determine ranking per category and per geographic priority area.

STEP 6: <u>Screen</u> all submitted projects for IDP, SDBIP, PMO and CIF Compliance.

STEP 7: One on one engagement with Departments

STEP 8: <u>Monitor</u> departmental expenditure as a comparison to financial year budget as per the priority areas and as per the project categories.





STEP 1

STEP 2

Step 1 – Define Project Categories (The respective Departments are responsible for completing this field in the draft capital budget):

Step 1 of the Capital Prioritisation Model is to define the project Categories for the Capital budget (all funding sources). The identification of the project categories for each Capital projects is part of the budget evaluation process and therefore departments are required to categorise their projects accordingly during this process. The following project categories are defined:

Category 1 – Urban Restructuring: The portion of the capital budget to be spent on eradicating historical backlogs of services that relates to both physical and social infrastructure. This can be termed 'The City Past' category of the budget. Projects included should focus on major housing projects and poverty eradication areas as described in the MSDF.

Urban Restructuring Example: Construction of a New Library in Brakpan

Category 2 – Upgrading and Renewal: The portion of the capital budget to be spent on upgrading and renewal of existing infrastructure and maintenance of social facilities and physical infrastructure. This can also be termed 'The City Present' category of the budget. The definition of this category can be expanded upon as per the definitions of upgrading and renewal by National Treasury. Upgrading refers to the extension of existing bulk capacity, whilst renewal refers to the maintaining of existing bulk infrastructure.

Upgrading and Renewal Example: Construction of Fire Station / House Germiston Central/Tertiary road upgrading

Category 3 – Economic Development: The portion of the capital budget to be spent on growing the economy of the city. This can also be termed 'The City Future' category of the budget. Projects included here should focus on industrial areas and major investment and development projects as described in the MSDF. According to the definition from National Treasury this category refers to projects that are focussed towards extending bulk infrastructure for the purpose of the stimulating growth and are therefore purely income generating projects.

Economic Development Example: Integrated Rapid Public Transport Network (IRPTN)

Category 4 – Local Interventions: the portion of the capital budget to be spent on specific political identified and prioritised projects not necessarily included in other categories of the capital budget. This can also be termed 'The City Always' category of the budget. Identification of such projects will be at the discretion of the Executive Mayor.

Local Interventions Example: Construction of Religious Precinct

Step 2 – Targeted budget allocation percentage per Project Category:

- ✓ Category 1 Urban Restructuring: 30% of the capital budget.
- ✓ Category 2 Upgrading and Renewal: 40% of the capital budget (including furniture, vehicles and equipment). National Treasury with reference to the MFMA circular no. 66 as read with MFMA circular no. 55 has advised municipalities to allocate no less than 40% of the capital budget to upgrading and Renewal.
- ✓ Category 3 Economic Development: 29% of the capital budget.





✓ Category 4 – Local Interventions: 1% of the capital budget.

Step 3 – Departments submit their draft multi-year capital budgets. (Includes project category, locality and scoring criteria) (Respective Departments)

Departments need to submit their draft multi-year capital budgets with all the relevant information captured, including the information pertaining to the Capital Prioritisation Model evaluation that includes the following:

- 1. Project Category per departmental project.
- 2. The projects locality and area of influence information (includes the GPS co-ordinates and the allocation of a unique project GIS key as reference to the projects shape file map in the GIS) this is done through the annual mapping exercise with the assistance of the City Planning GIS division.
- 3. Population of the CPM weighting variables.

Step 4 – Allocate all individual projects as submitted by departments into the CIF (Per project category and Per Priority Area). (This is assessment is done by the City Planning Department)

Individual projects will be assessed based on the definitions of each project category as described by National Treasury (Refer to Step 1) and per locality in relation to the Capital Investment Framework geographic priority areas. The outcomes of this assessment is included as part of the overall project weighting and project process.

Step 5 – Score individual capital projects to determine ranking per category and per geographic priority area. (Operational Task Team)

This step provides for the scoring of the individual projects based on a scoring system per project category. Furthermore the CPM Manual is developed to serve as a manual for the CPM process and scoring system. A set of prioritisation criteria and weighting systems (as discussed in the following sections) is used to prioritise the capital projects for the planning process of the EMM's multi-year budget programme.

It is important to note that the Capital Prioritisation Model aims to prioritise projects that have already been evaluated during the first tier (Departmental Appraisal). Therefore the aim is not to eliminate projects, but to prioritise them in a ranking order so as to assist in the EMM's budget planning process. Projects that are marked unsuccessful during this phase, in terms of budget allocation of the specific budget period, will need to be amended, in order to ensure that the project receives a higher score in the following financial year, ensuring a higher priority, and budget allocation to the project.



The aim of the CPM is not to eliminate / reject projects, but to prioritise them in order to assist in the budget allocation process for a specific financial year.





The Task Team will also verify the results of the CPM Evaluation as a measure of eliminating some of the subjectivity as far as possible.

Step 6 – Screen all submitted projects for IDP, SDBIP, PMO, Environmental and CIF Compliance. (Operational Task Team)

The capital budget projects will be screened in a joint sitting with Finance, City Planning, Economic Development, Human Settlements, EPMO, Environmental Resource Management, Real Estate as well as Strategy and Corporate Planning Departments. The following evaluation criteria will be utilised in the assessment process:

- ✓ Legally committed projects
- ✓ Projects committed due to appointment of consultants or contractors
- ✓ EIA requirements
- ✓ Exclusionary projects
- ✓ Evaluation of feasibility of project plans developed on PCS
 - Realistic project timelines
 - Realistic budget phases
- New versus existing projects
- ✓ Alignment with the CIF geographic priority areas
- ✓ Ward priorities
- ✓ Project weighting

Step 7 – One on one engagement with Departments

One on one engagements with departments will take place after the individual capital projects have been evaluated as per step 6 and scored based on the CPM model, so as to ensure that the information provided and captured is correct and realistic. Departments will have the opportunity to provide proof and motivation for the information given in terms of the capital prioritising model.

Step 8 – Monitor departmental expenditure as a comparison to financial year budget as per the priority areas and project categories

This will form part of the expenditure report on a quarterly basis. This step forms part of the third tier of the approach to project prioritisation, which is discussed in the following section.

2.3 ALLOCATION AND IMPLEMENTATION (TIER 3)

Projects that have been successfully prioritised in stage two goes through to the next phase of the three tier approach, the allocation and implementation stage. During this stage the capital budget is allocated to prioritised projects.

Furthermore this stage includes the project implementation, after the budget has been allocated, and continuous monitoring and evaluation needs to take place based on expenditure of capital projects. The monitoring and evaluation process assists in identifying whether there is a need for budget adjustments, based in monitoring expenditure against the CIF priority areas and project categories. Multi-year projects needs to go through the CPM phase in determining budget





adjustment for the next financial year for these projects, and go through the entire process from stage two to stage three.





3. THE PRIORITISATION MODEL METHODOLOGY AND SCORING SYSTEM

The purpose of this sub-section is to clearly define the methodology used to determine the Capital Prioritisation variables and scoring system.

It is important to note that the scoring and weighting system defined in this section is the **second draft utilised for the second round testing of departments' individual projects** as part of the departments' draft budget submission. Based on the outcomes and key findings of the first round testing and engagement with Departments in 2015 **certain changes were made to the scoring and weighting system**. These changes are retained in this manual for the 2017/18 Budget Evaluation Process.

3.1 METHODOLOGY FOR DETERMINING THE CPM VARIABLES

Diagram 3.1 illustrates the methodology used to determine the variables used for the prioritisation of the capital projects.


From the diagram it is clear to see that the variables determined for the CPM was based on the following:

- ✓ A review of case study literature on other capital prioritisation models to determine best practices and benchmarking. The case studies used includes inter alia:
 - o Drakenstein Municipality, Prioritisation Model for Capital Assets Investment, 2014
 - Queensland Treasury, Project Evaluation Guidelines, 1997
 - Project Scoring & Prioritization for Maximum Results, San Francisco, 2012
 - o Okhahlamba Local Municipality, Identification and Prioritisation of Projects, n.d.
 - Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality, Environmental Policy, 2012
 - o Ekurhuleni Growth and Development Strategy, 2012
 - University Of Wisconsin Madison, Project Prioritization: A Structured Approach To Working On What Matters Most, 2012
- √ Variables were also identified based on their ability to add value to National Treasury definitions in terms of the capital project categories
- ✓ Interaction with a number of government departments to determine specific needs of these departments was conducted in 2015 prior to the commencement of the budget process
- ✓ A desktop review of relevant policy and strategic documents was done to determine the objectives and outcomes relating to these capital projects.





Diagram 3.1: Methodology for CPM Variables



3.2 THE CPM VARIABLES DEFINED

Based on the abovementioned process, four key prioritisation variables were identified for the EMM's Capital Prioritisation Model, namely:



Each of these four variables have been subdivided into a number of related rating criteria, which will be used to assess and score each of the capital projects so as to prioritise the vast list of capital projects identified for the EMM budget (Diagram 3.2).

Each of these variables and scoring criteria reflected in the manual have been incorporated to the multi-year capital budget , and are to be populated as part of testing the CPM weighting system.





Environmetntal Econimic **Policy Alignment** Social Variables **Variables Variables** Will the project contribute to job Does the project Contribute to public Project Compliance with CIF Priority Conservation value of creation durin the the project safety Areas of Influence construction phase Will the project contribute to joob Is there an Does the project **GDS Pillars** Enviornmentaal contribute to basic Alignment creation during the Management Plan in service delivery operational phase place Will your project have a positive impact on SDF, LSDF, RSDF Will the project Does the project Alignment (Spatial contribute to basic catalyse future climate adaptation Structuring Elements) economic growth healthcare and mitigation Obligation to Does the project align Does the project contribute to basic Legislation (National, with high priority Regional, Local) economic sector skills development Does the project Leverage potential (ancillary & Compliance with promote amenity value and acheive other strategic documents and plans downstream projects) improvement Does it unlock Does the project development encourage / Does the project blockages / constraints to demonstarte create rateable assets significant growth engagement Is there a time factor Does your project support / contribute involved for this project that will to a flagship project negatively influence any other project Is there any negative risk associated with your project (after mitigation)

Diagram 3.2: CPM Key Variables and their Sub-Categories

3.3 THE WEIGHTING AND SCORING SYSTEM

The first step in developing the prioritisation model was to determine the variables / criteria that will be used to assess the importance / priority of each project, as defined in the previous paragraphs. For each of these identified variables / criteria, a rating scale should be developed to use in assessing how well a particular project satisfies the criteria. To ensure consistent use of the rating scale, the following paragraphs provide some details to define how the criteria and ratings should be applied.



The CPM will make use of a weighting system in which each of the key variables and their rating criteria will receive a specific weighting percentage. Furthermore a scale of impact for the rating criteria will be implemented.

Weighting




Each of the four key variables will have a weight attached to it, of which the sum of these weights must total 100. Within a main variable, each of the rating criteria will also have a weight attached to it, which will also need to total to 100.

The weighting system for each of the key variables is based on the three CIF Project Categories. A weighting scenario has been identified for each of the three CIF project categories (urban restructuring, upgrading and renewal and economic development).

It is important to note that each project will be evaluated against the project category weighting scenarios associated with that project.

The reason behind these three scenarios is that the scoring scenarios should be more strongly linked to the National Treasury Definitions of these three different project categories. The following figures illustrate the weighting systems based on the project categories.

Figure 3.1: Urban Restructuring Weighting System











Figure 3.2: Upgrading and Renewal Weighting System

Figure 3.3: Economic Development Weighting System



Furthermore as part of developing the scenarios, a number of projects were tested against these scenarios, in order to determine the effectiveness of the weighting scenarios. The initial testing was conducted against the 2015/16 budget during the development of the scoring criteria and weighting allocations. The following methodology was implemented to test the projects against the weighting scenarios:

- 1. A number of different projects were selected from each project category, i.e. urban restructuring projects, upgrading and renewal projects and economic development projects.
- 2. Projects were also selected from a number of different departments, so as to reflect fair inclusion different types of projects, i.e. projects from DEMS, EMPD, Health, Economic Development, Roads, SRAC, etc.
- 3. In order to effectively test the weighting scenarios on a fair basis, projects were compared based on their geographic priority areas' of influence, i.e. priority area 1, priority area 2, priority area 3, and no priority area.
- 4. Furthermore new projects were compared with refurbishment projects.





5. Comprehensive testing was conducted against the 2015/16 to 2017/18 multi-year capital budget.

The wide array of different projects and comparisons ensured effective and realistic testing of the three different weighting scenarios

Based on the findings from the 2015/16 and further engagements held with departments this yielded necessary changes that have been adopted within the weighting system to improve the impartiality and effectiveness of the system. The reviewed weighting system was tested against the 2016/17 budget.

Scale of Impact



Each criteria within the key variables will receive a score based on a scale of impact. Table 3-1 provides an example of the scale of impact. It is important to note that the number of scales differ for some of the criteria, where certain criteria for example will only have 2 scales of impact (i.e. yes and no).

Table 3-1: Scale of Impact for Rating Criteria

Scale of impact	Scoring
None	0
Low	25
Not Applicable	50
Moderate	75
High	100

The following paragraphs summarises the CPM that will used in prioritising capital projects for the Metro's 2017/18 to 2019/20 multi-year capital budget.

3.4 THE CAPITAL PRIORITISATION MODEL SUMMARY

The following tables must be utilised by the departmental project managers when populating the CPM weighting variables in the draft multi-year capital budget:

Table 3.2 – Policy Variables

Table 3.3 – Economic Variables

Table 3.4 – Environmental Variables

Table 3.5 – Social Variables

The tables summarise the key variables, their sub-categories, and scale of impact / rating criteria. Each of these variables, sub-categories and scoring criteria have been incorporated into the multi-year capital budget.







Important For Noting

- ✓ Failure to complete <u>any</u> of the criteria will result in a zero total score;
- ✓ All criteria should be completed, failure to complete a field will impact negatively on a projects scoring;
- ✓ Project / programmes locality must be accurately provided. An inaccurate locality or failure to provide locality will result in a 0 scoring for all spatially oriented criteria on the weighting system. Departments are therefore required to take note of Section B – the mapping guidelines;
- ✓ The project category must be specified (as defined in Section A, 2.2 of the Manual);
- ✓ Criteria must be completed for all new projects 2017/18; 2018/19 and 2019/20.
- ✓ Departments' co-operation is essential in the successful development and implementation of the prioritization which is in its final phase of testing;
- Criteria to be completed honestly and accurately;
- ✓ The completed CPM criteria will be evaluated as part of the draft budget evaluation by the CIF operational task team





Table 3-2: Policy Alignment Summary

POLICY AND LEGISLATION ALIGNMENT



Projects are assessed to determine their alignment with specific legislative and strategic documents, on a national, regional and local level. This variable also considers the alignment of projects with the CIF priority areas and project categories

Variables	Scale of Impact	Score	Description	Weight
Project Compliance with CIF Priority Areas of Influence				30
	0 and 5	0	The projects that are unmapped / outside the Urban Edge	
The Project is strategically located within the area of	4	25	Inside the Urban Edge, but no priority area of influence	
influence of the three CIF priority areas	3	50	The project falls within the CIF Priority 3 area of influence	
milderies of the times on priority areas	2	75	The project falls within the CIF Priority 2 area of influence	
	1	100	The project falls within the CIF Priority 1 area of influence	
	GDS Aliq	nment		10
The project is aligned with the growth sectors identified in the GDS	None	0	No alignment with GDS Pillars	
 Re-Generate: Environment / Wellbeing Re-Industrialise: Job creating economic growth 	Low	25	Aligned with one of the GDS Pillars	
 Re-Industrialise: Sob creating economic growth Re-Urbanise: Sustainable urban integration Re-Govern: Effective Cooperative Governance Re-Mobilise: Social Empowerment 	Moderate	75	Aligned with 2 or 3 of the GDS Pillars	
	High	100	Aligned with more than 3 of the GDS Pillars	
SDF and RSDF Alignment			20	
How well does your project relate to the Spatial Structuring Elements identified in the MSDF / RSDFs?	None	0	No alignment with SSEs	
✓ Core Nodes✓ Primary Nodes✓ Secondary Nodes	Low	25	Aligned with one or two of the SSEs	
 ✓ TOD Nodes (includes BRT and Passenger Rails Stations) 	Moderate	75	Aligned with 3 or 4 of the SSEs	
✓ IRPTN / Transport Corridors✓ Industrial Areas✓ Infill Housing	High	100	Aligned with more than 4 of the SSEs	





	Obligation to	Legislatio	n 10
Project's obligation with key legislative documents	No	0	No obligation to any legislation
(National, regional, local legislation)	Yes	100	Obligation to legislation (proof should be provided)
Compliar	nce with Other	Strategic	Documents 10
Project compliance with other strategic plans / documents. Examples include:	No	0	No compliance with any strategic documents
✓ Master Plans	Partially	50	Partially comply with strategic documents
✓ Sector Plans✓ National, Provincial and Council Strategies	Yes	100	Compliance with strategic documents / plans (proof should be provided)
Unlock developmen	t blockages /	Constraint	s to significant growth 5
The project is strategically located and consumer demand is strong, but due to infrastructure backlogs, demand could not be met. This intervention will therefore be the key to unlocking future growth and development.	None	0	No potential for unlocking growth and development
	Low	25	Very low potential for unlocking future growth and development, however could lead to slight backlog eradication
	Moderate	75	Could possibly result in eradicating certain constraints and resulting in growth and development
be the key to unlooking future growth and development.	High	100	Key project for significantly unlocking growth and development in the EMM
	Flagship	Projects	10
Does your project support / contribute to a flagship project? ✓ Aerotropolis ✓ Revitalisation of Township Economies ✓ Revitalisation of Manufacturing Sector ✓ Digital City ✓ IRPTN	No	0	No support / contribution
	Partially	50	Indirect support / contribution
 ✓ Urban Regeneration Projects (Tembisa and Germiston) ✓ Revenue Enhancement ✓ Beautification of Lakes and Dams 	Yes	100	Direct support / contribution





Risk			5	
	None	100	No negative risk	
Does the project still pose a significant negative risk for the metro even after mitigation measures have been put in place?	Low	75	Very low negative risk associated with the project	
	Moderate	25	Medium negative risk associated with the project	
	High	0	High negative risk associated with the project	





Table 3-3: Economic Variables Summary

ECONOMIC VARIABLES



It is important that the project contributes to economic growth and development within the area. Therefore this variable determines the project's ability to contribute to economic growth, deliver rateable assets, create employment opportunities, and generate income for the local government.

		local go	verninent.	
Variables	Scale of Impact	Score	Description	Weight
Will the project contribute to job creation during construction				
	Negligible	25	Less than 25 Jobs	
How many estimated construction (CAPEX) jobs will	Low	50	25 to 100 Jobs	
your project create?	Moderate	75	101 to 500 Jobs	
	High	100	More than 500 Jobs	
Will the project c	ontribute to jo	b creation o	during operations	15
	Negligible	25	Less than 25 Jobs	
How many estimated operational (OPEX) jobs will your	Low	50	25 to 100 Jobs	
project create?	Moderate	75	101 to 500 Jobs	
	High	100	More than 500 Jobs	
Will the pro	ject catalyse f	uture econo	omic growth	20
The project is located in an economic growth node, there	Negligible	25	Smaller than R 5 million	
is potential for future growth, proven demand, and results in the creation of rateable assets.	Low	50	R 5 million to R 50 million	
This criteria is directly linked to the Capital Investment value of the project, i.e. what has been budgeted on the	Moderate	75	R 51 million to R 100 million	
Capital budget.	High	100	More than R 100 million	
	Economic	Sectors		15
	None	0	No significant sector alignment	
Does the project align with a high priority sector	Very Low	25	Mining and Agriculture	
(Department to specify the sector most prominently	Low	50	Construction and Utilities	
supported by the project?	Moderate	75	Transport & communication; community and government s	services
	High	100	Manufacturing; finance and business services; and trade	





Leverage notes	ntial (Ancillary	, and downs	stream projects) 15	
The "Cotton-on" Effect – The project will create a strong	Negligible	25	Smaller than R 5 million	
magnet and nodal anchor by attracting and stimulating further growth in investment (productive income	Low	50	R 5 million to R 50 million	
generating assets).	Moderate	75	R 51 million to R 100 million	
This criteria is linked to the Capital Investment of the project and is therefore not contained in the budget excel sheet as part of the CPM columns.	High	100	More than R 100 million	
Does the project create rateable			assets 15	
The projects ability to create additional annual rates and taxes, resulting in increased revenue for government. This criteria is linked to the Capital Investment of the project and is therefore not contained in the budget excel sheet as part of the CPM columns.	Negligible	25	Smaller than R 5 million	
	Low	50	R 5 million to R 50 million	
	Moderate	75	R 51 million to R 100 million	
	High	100	More than R 100 million	
	Time F	actor	15	
	None	0	No urgency for development	
Is there a time factor involved for this project that will negatively influence the development/implementation of any other project(s)	Low	25	There is a certain time factor to ensure market demand / optime market entry	านm
	Moderate	75	A certain time factor involved based on both market demand as vas dependency of other projects on the development of this project	
	High	100	Urgent development needed – for ideal market entry and ot important projects highly dependent on the project's development	





Table 3-4: Environmental Variables Summary

ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES

ENVIRONMENT

This variable gives an indication of the impact of the project on the environment locally and within the urban region/regional ecosystem. It identifies the potential environmental benefits and costs of the project and gives higher scores to those projects that make an improvement to living standards, public health and a green environment.

Variables	Scale of Impact	Score	Description	Weight
Conservation Value				
	None	0	No contribution to the environment	
Will your project create or extend an Environmental	Low	25	Minimal contribution to the environment	
Asset (Add to conservation value)	Moderate	75	Moderate extension or creation of an environmental asset	
	High	100	Very high conservation value	
	Is ther	e an EMP		35
Is there an Environmental Management Plan (EMP) with	No	0	No EMP in place	
relevant standard operating procedures and method statements in place?	Yes	100	EMP in place (proof should be provided)	
Climate change adaptation / mitigation			30	
Will your project have a positive impact on climate	No	0	No Positive Impact	
change adaptation / mitigation?	Yes	100	Positive Impact	





Table 3-5: Social Variables Summary

SOCIAL VARIABLES



The social variable is very much contained / addressed in the economic variable aspect, especially with respect to job creation and the social benefits associated with increased employment. Projects with an explicit focus on increasing community well-being by means of delivering or contributing to certain social services (i.e. education, health, etc.) will receive priority / higher scoring.

Variables	Scale of Impact	Score	Description	Weight	
	Service D	Delivery		20	
	None	0	No contribution		
Does it contribute to basic service delivery?	Moderate	50	Indirect contribution		
	High	100	Direct contribution		
	Public S	Safety		15	
	None	0	No contribution		
Does the project contribute to public safety?	Moderate	50	Indirect contribution		
	High	100	Direct contribution		
	Basic Hea	althcare		15	
	None	0	No contribution		
Does the project contribute to basic healthcare?	Moderate	50	Indirect contribution		
	High	100	Direct contribution		
	Skills Deve	elopment		20	
	None	0	No contribution		
Does the project contribute to skills development?	Moderate	50	Indirect contribution		
	High	100	Direct contribution		
Amer	Amenity Value and Aesthetic Appeal				
Does the project promote amenity value and achieve aesthetic improvement to the built or natural heritage, as well as conserve and promote aspects of community heritage and culture?	None	0	No contribution		
	Moderate	50	Indirect contribution		
	High	100	Direct contribution		





Community Involvement			15	
Does the project encourage / demonstrate community	None	0	No contribution	
engagement, empowerment, ownership and/or involvement?	Moderate	50	Indirect contribution	
	High	100	Direct contribution	

DEPARTMENTAL PROJECT MANAGERS NEED TO NOTE THE ALLOCATED MAPPING DATES PER DEPARTMENT AS INDICATED IN THE MAPPING SCHEDULE FOR 2016

Mapping will take place from 1 – 4 November 2016 (See Section B of the Document for mapping guidelines)





SECTION B: MAPPING GUIDELINES

The purpose of this section is to outline the mapping guidelines for the mapping of the 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 MTREF Capital budget.

1. PURPOSE OF MAPPING PROJECTS

- ✓ To align departmental capital budget with the CIF (Geographic Priority Areas and Capital Prioritisation Model).
- ✓ To comply with National Treasury requirements for GPS coordinates (Form SA36).
- ✓ Monitor future expenditure on departmental capital projects spatially.
- ✓ Departments to confirm the correctness of the 2016/17 mapping and to indicate to the GIS/appointed consultants where changes are required.
- ✓ Departments to provide accurate mapping information for new projects.

2. PROJECTS TO BE MAPPED

- ✓ Each vote number/project on the draft Capital Budget for 2017/18, 2018/19, and 2019/20 must be mapped, this includes projects that were not mapped during the 2015 mapping exercise, or where a department requires an amendment to mapping of a capital project that was done during the 2015 mapping exercise.
- ✓ Projects (Vote numbers) that have been broken down into subprojects. (Projects that were unbundled in 2016 require the mapping to be jointly unbundled)
- ✓ New departmental capital projects for inclusion to the draft budget across the MTEF period.

3. MAPPING PROCESS

- a) Mapping done during 2015 will be used as a basis.
- b) The capital budget mapping exercise will take place from 1 7 November 2016, and will be based on the draft consolidated multi-year capital budget.
- c) The City Planning GIS division/appointed consultants will take responsibility for supplying capital project GIS information to the Finance Department on the basis that.....
 - 1. Departments however are still required to advise GIS/appointed consultants of the project locality information.
 - 2. Departments therefore need to take responsibility for the provision of accurate mapping information to the GIS division.
 - 3. <u>GIS/appointed Consultants will not generate mapping information</u> without the input of the responsible departmental project managers.
- d) Departmental Project Managers must indicate the locality and area of influence of each project as accurately as possible to the GIS division. The GIS will then generate the following information:





- o GPS co-ordinates.
- Shape file and unique GIS key (project reference number link to the shap file map).
- Project location in relation to the CIF priority areas.
 (locality together with the area of influence of the project will be captured as a shape-file per project and allocated a unique GIS code e.g. ICT01, which will be allocated to the project by the City Planning GIS division during the annual budget mapping process)
- Affected Ward

Note: <u>Project Managers must come prepared</u>. This entails knowing which projects to map in terms of the departmental projects locality and area of influence (which townships will benefit from the service).

4. MAPPING GUIDELINES - PROJECT LOCALITY

- a) For mapping purposes, all operational equipment (vehicles, furniture, equipment) are mapped at the facility where most of the equipment will (most likely) be utilised in the following order of accuracy:
 - 1. Departmental depot or facility (e.g. library or fire station);
 - 2. Ekurhuleni Customer Care Area (CCA) office; or
 - 3. Departmental Corporate Office.
- b) In case of a project located in a road reserve, map the central point of the project and indicate the applicable singe GPS co-ordinate. The street name(s) must be reflected.
- c) If there is no certainty on the exact geographic locality of where the project is to be implemented, the project manager must provide the most likely locality.
- d) Projects that are not operational equipment on the capital budget are no longer permitted to be mapped at the department's corporate office. Capital projects must be mapped to the locality where the projects will be implemented.

5. MAPPING GUIDELINES - PROJECT AREA OF INFLUENCE

- a) For all projects from the Human Settlements, Environmental Resource Management and Economic Development departments, the area of the project is also be deemed to be the area of influence of the project.
- b) For Solid Waste projects the area of influence will be determined based on the 'Spatial View on Level of Service' as per the Backlog Study.
- c) For electricity, water, sanitation and ICT reticulation projects, the area of influence is the cluster of erven (or the township(s)) that will be serviced directly from the project.
- d) For electricity (substation), water (towers & reservoirs), sanitation (treatment plants), waste management (landfill sites) and ICT bulk supply projects, the area of influence is the townships (or art thereof) that will be serviced from the project.





- e) For waste transfer stations, the area of influence is to be determined by the Waste Management Service department.
- f) For Class 3 Roads projects, the area of influence is the township(s) that will most likely benefit from the road.
- g) For Class 4 Roads projects, the area of influence is the cluster of erven or the township that will most likely benefit from the road.
- h) The area of influence of storm-water projects is the 100-year flood 'down-stream' from the project.
- i) The area of influence for pedestrian oriented projects (e.g. pedestrian bridges and sidewalks) is the areas of origin and destination of the bulk of pedestrians to be served, as indicated by the Public Transport department. Where such data is not available, the area of influence will be deemed to be the erven directly adjoining the project.
- j) For all projects at municipal offices, stores, workshops and yards, the area of influence is the administrative area served by said facility.
- k) The area of influence of the IPTN, taxi ranks and intermodal facilities, is a 500m radius from the projects' pedestrian access point(s).
- I) The area of influence for Operational Equipment (vehicles, furniture, equipment) is the administrative area of the facility where most of the equipment will (most likely) be utilised in the following order of accuracy:
 - 1. Admin area of the departmental depot or facility (e.g. library or fire station service area);
 - 2. Ekurhuleni Customer Care Area (CCA); or
 - 3. Departmental Corporate Office, with a Metro wide area of influence.
- m) Social facility projects' area of influence should be as per the level of service determined by the department. In the event that the department does not have service level standards in place, the level of service will be determined by applying the guidelines from the February 2012 document titled "Strengthening of the MSDF" by i@consulting as reflected in table 3.6 (see below).





Table 3.6: Guidelines from i@consulting

Facility Type (New facility or any		((Ctuo mouth a minor of the		
Facility Type (New facility or any upgrading & renewal)	Area of influence	"Strengthening of the MSDF" Page nr		
Primary Health Care Facility	1.5km	Page 56		
Community Health Clinics	2km	Page 56		
Health Care Facility	3km	Page 56		
Local Community Library	3km	Page 60		
Branch Public Library	6km	Page 60		
Regional Public Library	9km	Page 60		
Halls (Local facility)	2km	Page 65		
Halls (Regional facility)	4km	Page 65		
Indoor sport & recreation (Local facility)	4km	Page 70		
Indoor sport & recreation (Regional facility)	8km	Page 70		
Indoor sport & recreation (Multi-Purpose Community Centre)	20km	Page 70		
Parks (Open Space with Basic Improvements)	500m	Page 73		
Parks (Neighbourhood park)	4km	Page 73		
Parks (Municipal Park)	8km	Page 73		
Parks (Regional Park)	30km	Page 70		
Outdoor Sport & Recreation Facilities (with Basic Improvements)	500m	Page 75		
Outdoor Sport & Recreation Facilities (Neighbourhood)	4km	Page 75		
Outdoor Sport & Recreation Facilities (Municipal)	8km	Page 75		
Outdoor Sport & Recreation Facilities (Regional)	30km	Page 75		
Museums/ Galleries/ Theatres (Local)	4km	Page 79		
Museums/ Galleries/ Theatres (Local)	20km	Page 79		
Fire & Ambulance (Risk Category A and E)	5 minutes	Page 83		
Fire & Ambulance (Risk Category B)	7 minutes	Page 83		
Fire & Ambulance (Risk Category C)	10 minutes	Page 84		
Fire & Ambulance (Risk Category D)	20 minutes	Page 84		
Building Plan Approval Offices (local)	As per GIS	Page 87		
Building Plan Approval Offices (Municipal)	As per GIS	Page 87		
Cemeteries	30km	Page 89		
EMPD Precinct Stations	20 minutes	Page 91		

This is the fifth round of mapping all capital projects. We are endeavouring to improve accuracy.





Mapping Assistance

Want to see how your project aligns to the CIF priority areas and the MSDF Spatial Structuring Elements or need to identify the ward in which your project falls......

See the GIS Viewer on the Intranet

Update of the Viewer to be available 14 October 2016



Should you need any assistance or have a query you are welcome to contact:

Carmen Paulsen Tel.: 011 999 3360

Email: carmen.paulsen@ekurhuleni.gov.za

Neby Monama Tel.: 0119993430

Email: Neby.Monama@ekurhuleni.gov.za





APPENDIX B



EXTRACT FROM THE MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING OF THE EKURHULENI METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY HELD ON THE 28 APRIL 2016

A-F (32-2016)

REVIEWED INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT PLAN (IDP) AND MEDIUM-TERM REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE FRAMEWORK (MTREF): 2016/2017 TO 2018/2019

RESOLVED

- 1. That the Council of the EMM, acting in terms of section 24 of the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act 56 of 2003) APPROVES and ADOPTS:
 - 1.1 The annual budget of the municipality for the financial year 2016/17 and the multi-year and single-year capital appropriations as set out in the following tables:
 - 1.1.1 Budgeted Financial Performance (revenue and expenditure by standard classification) as contained in Table 22 on page 51;
 - 1.1.2 Budgeted Financial Performance (revenue and expenditure by municipal vote) as contained in Table 23 on page 54;
 - 1.1.3 Budgeted Financial Performance (revenue by source and expenditure by type) as contained in Table 25 on page 57; and
 - 1.1.4 Multi-year and single-year capital appropriations by municipal vote and standard classification and associated funding by source as contained in Table 26 on page 60.
 - 1.2 The financial position, cash flow budget, cash backed reserve / accumulated surplus, asset management and basic service delivery targets are approved as set out in the following tables:
 - 1.2.1 Budgeted Financial Position as contained in Table 27 on page 66;
 - 1.2.2 Budgeted Cash Flows as contained in Table 28 on page 69;
 - 1.2.3 Cash backed reserves and accumulated surplus reconciliation as contained in Table 29 on page 70;
 - 1.2.4 Asset management as contained in Table 30 on page 73, and
 - 1.2.5 Basic service delivery measurement as contained in Table 31 on page 76.
- That the Council of the EMM, acting in terms of section 75A of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act (Act 32 of 2000) APPROVES and ADOPTS with effect from 1 July 2016:
 - 2.1 Schedule 1 Tariffs for property rates.
 - 2.2 Schedule 2 Tariffs for electricity.
 - 2.3 Schedule 3 Tariffs for the supply of water.
 - 2.4 Schedule 4 Tariffs for the supply of sewer.
 - 2.5 Schedule 5 Tariffs for waste management services.

- as set out in Annexure C.

Annexure D15

- 3. That the Council of the EMM, acting in terms of 75A of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act (Act 32 of 2000) APPROVES and ADOPTS with effect from 1 July 2016 the tariffs for other services, as set out in the various tariff schedules as contained in Annexure C.
- 4. That to give proper effect to the municipality's annual budget, the Council of the EMM APPROVES:
 - 4.1 That cash backing is implemented through the utilisation of a portion of the revenue generated from property rates and user charges for services to ensure that all capital reserves and provisions, unspent long-term loans and unspent conditional grants are cash backed as required in terms of the municipality's funding and reserves policy as prescribed by Section 8 of the Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations.
 - 4.2 **That** the municipality **BE PERMITTED** to enter into long-term borrowings for the funding of the capital programmes in respect of the 2016/17 financial year limited to an amount of R1.791 billion, 2017/18 financial year limited to an amount of R2.067 billion and 2018/19 financial year limited to an amount of R2.302 billion, in terms of Section 46 of the Municipal Finance Management Act.
 - 4.3 **That** the Municipal Manager **BE AUTHORISED** to sign all necessary agreements and documents to give effect to the above lending programme.
 - 4.4 **That** the taking up of an external loan of R1.791 billion to fund the 2016/2017 Capital Budget **BE APPROVED** for a term of 10 to 15 years and that a further report be submitted to Council to give feedback on the public comments received in this regard before the transaction is finalised.
- 5. That to guide the implementation of the municipality's annual budget, the Council of the EMM APPROVES the policies as set out in the following Annexures of this document.

Annexure D1 Annexure D2	Medium-term Budget Policy Statement (reviewed) Pricing Policy Statement (reviewed)
Annexure D3	Property Rates Policy (remains unchanged)
Annexure D4	Provision of Free Basic Electricity Policy (remains unchanged)
Annexure D5	Waste Management Services Tariff Policy (reviewed)
Annexure D6	Consumer Deposit Policy (reviewed)
Annexure D7	Indigent Policy (remains unchanged)
Annexure D8	Credit Control & Debt Collection Policy (reviewed)
Annexure D9	Provision for Doubtful Debtors and Debtors Write Off (reviewed)
Annexure D10	Budget Implementation and Monitoring Policy (remains
	unchanged)
Annexure D11	Municipal Entity Financial Support Policy (reviewed)
Annexure D12	Accounting Policy (reviewed)
Annexure D13	Funding and Reserves Policy (remains unchanged)
Annexure D14	Borrowing Policy (remains unchanged)

Cash Management Policy (remains unchanged)

Annexure D16	Policy on Electricity Metering for Residential and Small Business
	Customers in the EMM (reviewed)
Annexure D17	Policy for the Vending of Pre-paid Electricity (reviewed)
Annexure D18	Policy for Correction of Meter Reading and Billing Data (remains
	unchanged)
Annexure D19	Electricity Tariff policy (reviewed)
Annexure D20	Virement Policy (remains unchanged)
Annexure D21	Consumer Agreement Policy (reviewed)
Annexure D22	Renewable Energy Revenue Loss Mitigation Policy (new)

- 6. That to ensure oversight of the municipal entities as required by Section 89 of the Municipal Finance Management Act, Council APPROVES the salaries and benefits of the Municipal Entities to be in line with the proposed increases as set out in the budget of EMM.
- 7. That the Chief Financial Officer, in consultation with the City Manager, BE DELEGATED the authority to adjust the 2016/17 Operating and Capital Budgets (income and expenditure) with:
 - 7.1 all operating and capital grants received in addition to the currently gazetted DORA grants
 - 7.2 income received for recoverable jobs
 - 7.3 insurance claims received
 - 7.4 developers' contributions received
 - 7.5 transactions on the internal cost management structure
 - 7.6 disbursement of centralised budgets
- 8. That the Chief Financial Officer BE AUTHORISED to amend the structure on the financial system, in collaboration with the Heads of Departments, to align the financial system to the outcome of the Institutional Review and the new Standard Chart Of Accounts (SCOA) structure proposed by National Treasury.
- **9.** That, in order to facilitate multi-year budgeting, departments **BE AUTHORISED** to commit projects on the 2017/18 and 2018/19 budgets, on both internal and confirmed external funding sources.
- 10. That authority BE GRANTED, to a maximum amount of R200m, in respect of bank overdraft facilities and/or the raising of short-term loans, including loans at call from Council's bankers, for the financial year ending 30 June 2017 in order to finance temporarily
 - Expenditure on the Capital Budget; or
 - Expenditure on the Operating Budget incurred in anticipation of the receipt of revenue estimated and from which the expenditure would have been defrayed.
- 11. That the EMM IDP and Service Delivery Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) for 2016/17 as contained in **Annexures A** and **E** respectively, **BE ADOPTED**.
- **12.** That a copy of the IDP BE SUBMITTED to the MEC for Local Government for comments.

- 13. That the MEC for Local Government BE REQUESTED to approve the EMM IDP for 2016/17 as its Land Development Objectives as envisaged in Section 72a of the Development Facilitation Act.
- 14. That projects in the 2016/17 Capital Budget which is funded from either External Borrowing or Capital Replacement Reserve for the purposes of Virement BE REGARDED as similar sources of funding.
- 15. That the Built Environment Performance Plan (BEPP) for the 2016/2017 to 2018/2019 financial period attached to the report as **Annexure G BE ADOPTED**.
- 16. That the Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Water Services Development Plan for 16/17 Financial Year as contained in Annexure A(2) in the Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality's Integrated Development Plan BE APPROVED and SUBMITTED to the Department of Water Affairs.
- 17. That Circular No 82 regarding Cost Containment Measures as issued by National Treasury **BE NOTED** (The GCFO will consider the implementation after reviewing of the current policies).

CERTIFIED A TRUE EXTRACT
SIGNED AT GERMISTON ON THIS DAY 03 MAY 2016

OFFICE OF THE COUNCIL SECRETARY

2016 -05- 0 3

Signature:

SECRETARY OF COUNCIL: EKURHULENI METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY

APPENDIX C





CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Attendance Register: Human Settlement and Transport Input BEPP


Venue: Kempton Park; Back Boardroom Ground Floor

Date: 06 March 2017

Time: 09:00 till 12:00

ieil/ceil no		Email	Apology
Mariefie Grifficen 0823186275	5429	marieties scip. 6.79	1
Kobie Nel 0833	7,1119012580	Kobie Did aid accordate	
A		Retable Sootsayane	,0,0
thickani Mariele 011 99		Hickari Mastrele @	
300/20 HILLIEN OH 999 6707	4 6707	godken hire	
Micmie Son Mathe OH gos sucol		mienie malktze	7
Swaiswin Maria Ou agg Soun		Studisucion marca	

APPENDIX D



EKURHULENI METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY

TRANSPORT PLANNING & PROVISION

Intermodal Planning Committee and Rail Subcommittee meeting: 27 February 2017



TMC, 7 Kelvin Road, Kempton Park 09:00

Agenda

- 1. Welcome and Introductions (RS)
- 2. Apologies (All)
- 3. Confirmation of Agenda (All)
- 4. Adoption of minutes of the previous meeting (All)
- 5. Matters arising (All)
- 6. Update on CITP (RS)
- 7. Integrated Rapid Public Transport Network (IRPTN) Progress Update (TS)
- 8. Cross Boarder Matters
- 9. Gautrain Matters
- 10. Update on EMM precinct plans (MB)
- 11. Memorandum of Understanding: PRASA and Ekurhuleni (SM)
- 12. Feedback from the Land Use & Transport Integration (LUTI) Subcommittee
- 13. Feedback on ACSA Masterplan
- 14. Other Matters
- 15. Next meeting
- 16. Closure

27 February 2017

Transport Management Centre 7 Kelvin Road Spartan 09:00-11:00

		Responsible Person
1.	WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION	
	Chairperson, Ms. Rethabile Seotsanyane welcomed all present and thanked all for attending the meeting	Chairperson: Rethabile Seotsanyane
2.	ATTENDANCE & APOLOGIES	
	2.1 ATTENDANCE	IPC Committee Team and Invitees
	 Ms. Rethabile Seotsanyane, EMM: Transport Planning Mr. Tommie Snyman, EMM: Transport Planning 	
	 Mr. Martin Bekker, EMM: Spatial Planning Mr. Sisa Maboza, PRASA 	
	 Ms. Johanna Lata, PRASA 	
	 Mr Rudzani Mabaso, EMM City Planning 	
	 Mr Barend Deminey, EMM: Roads & Stormwater Ms Miemie Von Maltitz, EMM: Human Settlements 	
	2.2 APOLOGIES	
	 Alfred Sidia, EMM: Roads and Stormwater 	
	Uyanda Langa, EMM Transport Planning	
	Saziso Buthelezi, EMM TransportAletta Lephalala, Cross Border	
3.	CONFIRMATION OF AGENDA	
	The agenda was confirmed and adopted as is.	Committee
4.	ADOPTION OF MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING	Committee
	 There were no minutes for IPC held on 30 September 2017, therefore the minutes for 22 June 2016 were used. 	
5.	MATTERS ARISING	
		Committee
	Detailed plans for Leralla Station are still outstanding. The state of the SET representation of the SET representation.	
	Tommie Snyman must circulate the BRT maps. Sign Mahaza must significate Discussion paper on Droft.	
	Sisa Maboza must circulate Discussion paper on Draft Bill for ITP.	

27 February 201*7*

6.	CITP FEEDBACK 6.1 The CITP was approved on 24 November 2016 by the EMM Council. 6.2 The submission to NDoT and GPRT is awaiting Transport Department HoD's signature.	Rethabile Seotsanyane
	Due to capacity constraints the Department will only review the following chapters. a. Public transport strategy b. Non-motorized transport – NMT audit and master plan c. Freight strategy – safety of freight in EMM	
7.	INTEGRATED RAPID PUBLIC TRANSPORT NETWORK (IRPTN) PROGRESS UPDATE	Tommie Snyman
	7.1 Presentation (Ekurhuleni Harambee Team) • The progress update on Harambee was presented by Tommie Snyman, the Acting IRTN Head.	
	7.2 Discussion Note: The following points were addressed	
	 Infrastructure is not complete from Tembisa Civic Centre to Zuurfontein The tender for trunk routes is on hold for CR Swart Drive and Pretoria Road. Other alternatives are being considered i.e. kerb side stops instead of trunk along CR Swart Drive, changing Pretoria Road into a oneway system. Stations contract is terminated but the reappointment of a contractor is under way. 	
	 Fare management equipment has been delivered. Eight (8) buses have been leased from KTVR for systems test which started on 8 February 2017. Service test will commence in March. The Department must get approval for operational plan from NDoT before July launch. 	
	 Single ticketing and mobile kiosks will be implemented. EMV top up points will be ready by June 2017. Fare policy has been approved by Council in January 2017, with a condition to workshop the policy to Councillors and develop by laws. 	
	 Hybrid model must be explored where taxis can be used as feeders – EMM to do a financial model. 	

27 February 201*7*

	Detailed designs for phases 1 b and c will be checked by IRPTN team to see if there are deviations required to minimize costs.	
8.	8.1 There was no representation from Cross Boarder, however the IPC Members discussed the need of integration between Ivory Park and Tembisa. • There is no linkage between Tembisa (Swazini station) and Johannesburg bus station. Cross Boarder must give clarity on how the integration will be addressed.	Aletta Lephalala
9.	GAUTRAIN MATTERS 9.1 Gautrain must give feedback on the proposed Rhodesfield station extension as well as the rail extensions. 9.2 Gautrain must give feedback on the status of the feasibility study.	Victor Busang
10.	UPDATE ON EMM PRECINCT PLANS 10.1 Four (4) precinct plans are at draft stage; a. Primrose including the informal settlement; b. Benoni CBD; c. Dunnottar Area including Prasa Gibela site; d. Leeupan Benoni including Wattville. 10.2 The following precinct plans must be refined: a. Dries Niemandt; b. Kempton Park CBD; c. Vosloorus hospital. (There is a proposal that the BRT must stop at the rank. Further discussions are required with the IRPTN team). d. Germiston Lake including Rand Airport; 10.3 City Planning is finalizing the Urban Design Policy. 10.4 Advisory panel is proposed for big developments.	Martin Bekker
11.	MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING 11.1. PRASA submitted a draft MOU to EMM and legal comments were given. Draft MOU is with HoD Transport for final comments and/or signature, thereafter it will be sent to City Manager for final approval.	Sisa Maboza / Rethabile Seotsanyane

27 February 2017

12.	FEEDBACK FROM THE LAND USE AND TRANSPORT INTEGRATION (LUTI) SUB-COMMITTEE	IPC Sub-Committee members
	 The following is feedback from the Sub-Committee Meeting: Transit Orientated Developments (TOD) around the station must be explored by both City Planning and 	
	PRASA;	
	RSDF indemnifies TOD;	
	 PRASA is busy with railway stations study; Identify TOD for EMM. 	
	Identity TOD for Elvilly.	
13.	FEEDBACK ON ACSA MATTERS	Puleng Makhetha
	13.1 There was no representation from ACSA. However, the following was discussed:	
	The committee requires the status of the Airport masterplan.	
	 The impact of the proposed midfield terminal on the external road network should be discussed. 	
	Is there MOU between EMM and ACSA.	
14.	OTHER MATTERS	
	 The status of PWV15 planning and its impact on Gautrans must clarified. GDRT must give feedback. Train station request from Thinasonke. PRASA has responded to the residents but such requests must come from EMM. 	Committee
	Railway lines reserves that are currently not being utilized by Prasa must be protected.	
	Action items for the next quarterly meeting:	
	PRASA to facilitate meeting with Roads, Human Settlements, Transport and City Planning Department regarding TOD.	
	Presentation on Airport masterplan - ACSA	
	GDRT must give update on the planning of PWV15 and its impact on EMM.	
	Rethabile must circulate the Draft ITP Bill and consolidate comments for 10 March submission.	
	5. Presentation by Gautrain regarding Rhodesfield	
	station extensions and the proposed railway line extensions.	
	Rudzani to circulate land capture draft report.	
	Barend to circulate Tambo Springs drawings and Verge Policy to IPC. Verge Policy to be workshopped	

27 February 2017

	with service departments i.e. Water & Sanitation and	
	Energy.	
	8. Cross Boarder to give feedback on integrating public	
	transport at EMM boarder with COJ.	
	Presentation by ACSA on Airport masterplan.	
	10. Martin to give information regarding land use within	
	500m radius of stations.	
	11. Martin must email link of precinct plans to IPC	
	members	
	12. Rudzani to assist with development of the station	
	studies, property ownership around the station and the	
	draft of land value capture report.	
	13 Human Settlements must circulate Leralla station	
	Develoment Framework.	
10.	DATE OF NEXT MEETING	
	Date of next quarterly meeting to be confirmed. The proposed	
	date is 22 nd March 2017.	
11.	CLOSURE	
		Rethabile
	Ms. Rethabile Seotsanyane adjourned the meeting and	Seotsanyane
	thanked everyone for their attendance.	
t		

ACRONYMS:

ACSA Airports Company South Africa

BBC Bombela Bus Company

BCC Bombela Concession Company

BOC Bombela Operating Company

CBRTA Cross Border Road Transport Agency

CITP Comprehensive Integrated Transport Plan

CoJ City of Johannesburg

EMM Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality

27 February 2017

EMPD Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Police Department

GDRT Gauteng Department of Roads and Stormwater

GITP Gauteng Integrated Transport Plan

GMA Gautrain Management Agency

GTC Gauteng Transport Commission

IPC Intermodal Planning Committee

IRPTN Integrated Rapid Public Transport Network

MOU Memorandum of Understanding

MSDF Metropolitan Spatial Development Framework

NDOT National Department of Transport

NLTA National Transport Act

NMT Non-Motorised Transport

PRASA Passenger Rail Agency of South Africa

RSC Rail Steering Committee

RSR Rail Safety Regulator

TOD Transit Orientated Development

VOC Vehicle Operating Company

Chairperson: Rethabile Seotsanyane

Snr Manager: Transport Planning Division

Transport Planning and Provision Department



ATTENDANCE REGISTER

PROCESS COMMITTEE MEETING

FRIDAY 28 OCTOBER 2016 AT 10H00 37 Feb 2017. 49'.00

TMC - KEMPTON PARK

NAME AND SURNAME	ORGANISTATION/COMPANY	CONTACT DETAILS	SIGNATURE
Tommie Smyman	EMM IPTN.	0829034190	
Rethabile Satsmyare.	EMM Transport	0734405550	/// Sefs.
JOHANNA LATA	PRASA	0718537937	Ante A
Miemie Von Maltitz	EMM DHS.	082495 1030	Knut
Barend Deminey.	Emin Roads & Storm water	011 999 3999	
			9
MARTIN BEKKER	CTO PLANNING	99 -40 26	
SISA MABOZA	PRASA	012 748 7270	(Design

EKURHULENI METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY TRANSPORT PLANNING AND PROVISION Intermodal Planning Committee (IPC) and Rail Steering Committee (RSC) Meeting

22 June 2016

Transport Management Centre 7 Kelvin Road Spartan 09:00-12:00

		Responsible Person
1.	Welcome and Introduction	Chairperson: Ms. Uyanda
	Chairperson, Ms. Uyanda Langa welcomed all present and thanked all for attending the meeting	Langa
2.	Attendance & Apologies	Committee
	2.1 Attendance	
	Ms. Uyanda Langa, EMM: Transport Planning	
	Ms. Dineo Lekgothoane, EMM: Transport Planning	
	Ms. Johanna Lata, PRASA	
	Ms. Puleng Makhetha, ACSA Ms. Shamil Talin, Transport	
	 Mr. Shamil Talip, Transnet Ms. Chelina Bodhie, Bombela Concession Company 	
	(Gautrain)	
	Ms. Nisa Mthombeni, GDRT	
	Mr. Tommie Snyman, EMM: Transport	
	Mr. Saziso Buthelezi, EMM: Transport Planning	
	Mr. Sisa Maboza, PRASA	
	Ms. Ivy Modiselle, PRASA CRES	
	 Mr. Rudzani Mabaso, EMM: City Planning Mr. Bonza Mangena, PRASA 	
	Will Boliza Waligeria, FRASA	
	2.2 Apologies	
	Ms. Nonkululeko Kambule, GDRT	
	Mr. Olamigoke Akinnusi, GDRT	
	Ms. Rethabile Seotsanyane, EMM: Roads & Stormwater	
	 Mr. Victor Busang, GMA Miemie Von Maltitz, EMM: Human Settlements 	
	Miemie Von Maltitz, EMM: Human Settlements	
3.	Confirmation of the agenda	Committee

22 June 2016

	The agenda was confirmed and adopted as is.	
4.	Adoption of minutes of the previous meeting Minutes were adopted as the true reflection of the meeting held on the 18th March 2016.	Committee
5.	Matters Arising There were no urgent matters that arose during the IPC meeting.	Committee
6.	 Integrated Rapid Public Transport Network (IRPTN) Progress Update 6.1 Summary of the presentation The intention is to obtain operating licenses for the new buses from GDRT so as to enable services to run. Fare policy to be presented to Council on the 23rd June 2016. Inspection required from the National Department of Transport (NDOT). It is the responsibility of the VOC to procure buses. 	Mr. Tommie Snyman
7.	 Progress on the Comprehensive Integrated Transport Plan (CITP) The CITP was recently approved by the Mayoral Committee. It is due for submission to Council for final approval. Once approved by the Council, the CITP will be submitted to GDRT for approval. Discussion on the progress of EMM Roads and Stormwater projects including the following: EMM has received feedback from GDRT for the PWV15 preliminary design project. Tambo Springs and Vosloorus road planning and land acquisition project is currently in progress. 	Uyanda Langa

22 June 2016

	 PRASA requested to be part of EMM's CITP Steering committee as this will enable them to have meaningful inputs into the CITP that is meant to advance the agenda of the NLTA as far as intermodal transport is concerned. 	
8.	 Update on the MOU from PRASA's perspective - PRASA is required to enter into formal agreements (with municipalities) that will ensure delivery of projects within set timeframes and enable reporting to the proper structures within both municipalities and PRASA. There have previously been discussions between PRASA and EMM about drafting a Memorandum of Action (MOA). This idea could not succeed as it was felt that EMM was not yet ready to enter into an MOA. Hence it was concluded that a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) should be drafted with specific areas of interactions. Annexures (i.e. areas of interactions) were set to be amended on an annual basis. While PRASA is currently working on a number of stations within EMM, it lacks feedback from project management teams of those station development projects. From PRASA's side the draft MOU has not been submitted to the legal department. EMM's legal department has already sent out comments for the draft MOU. Upon the receipt of the comments from EMM's legal department, PRASA will be able to incorporate the comments and then submit the draft to PRASA's legal department. 	Sisa Maboza Uyanda Langa
9.	 Feedback from the Land Use & Transport Integration (LUTI) Sub-committee The sub-committee meeting was held on the 25th of April 2016 The IRPTN team gave a presentation on Enabling Land Value Capture in Ekurhuleni (Revised Draft). Latest draft of the report to be circulated to members of the committee. 	Ms. Uyanda Langa

22 June 2016

10.	Other Matters:	Puleng Makhetha
	Feedback on the ACSA Masterplan	Uyanda Langa
	 Brief feedback was shared on the status of the draft Masterplan. Detailed feedback will be given at a future meeting. ACSA has commenced work on the Cargo Midfield Project and will seek inputs from the City in this process. 	oyamaa zamga
	Feedback on Rhodesfield BRT Station Proposals	
	The Rhodesfield BRT draft Station proposals have been completed by the City and will be shared with PRASA and the GMA.	
11.	Next meeting Date of next quarterly meeting to be confirmed.	
12.	Closure Ms. Uyanda Langa adjourned the meeting and thanked everyone for coming.	Ms. Uyanda Langa

Signed by: Uyanda Langa

Chairperson

22 June 2016

ACRONYMS:

ACSA Airports Company South Africa

CEO Chief Executive Officer

CITP Comprehensive Integrated Transport Plan

EMM Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality

GDRT Gauteng Department of Roads and Transport

GMA Gautrain Management Agency

IPC Intermodal Planning Committee

IRPTN Integrated Rapid Public Transport Network

LUTI Land Use & Transport Integration

MOA Memorandum of Action

MOU Memorandum of Understanding

NDOT National Department of Transport

NLTA National Transport Act

PRASA Passenger Rail Agency of South Africa

TOD Transit Orientated Development

VOC Vehicle Operating Company

25 April 2016

Transport Management Centre 7 Kelvin Road Spartan 09:00-12:00

		RESPONSIBLE PERSON
1.	WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION	Chairperson: Uyanda Langa
	 Chairperson, Ms. Uyanda Langa welcomed all present and thanked all for attending the meeting 	
2.	ATTENDANCE & APOLOGIES	AII
	 2.1 Attendance Ms. Uyanda Langa, EMM: Transport Planning Ms. Dineo Lekgothoane, EMM: Transport Planning Ms. Tebogo Phahlamohlaka, EMM: Transport Operations Mr. Martin Bekker, EMM: Spatial Planning Mr. Sisa Maboza, PRASA Ms. Johanna Lata, PRASA Mr. Olamigoke Akinnusi, GDRT: Transport Planning Mr. Omar-Shariff Cupido, EMM: Finance-Transport Plan& Provision Mr. Clement Maphanga, EMM: Infrastructure Services Mr. Isaac Mosoane, EMM: Roads and Storm Water Ms. Nonkuleko Kambule, GDRT: Transport Planning Mr. Rudzani Mabaso, EMM: City Planning Mr. Sibusiso Dlamini,EMM: City Planning Ms. Zinzile Seepie, GDRT - Office of the Premier: IDP Ms. Ivy Modiselle, PRASA Ms. Sheenagh Bruce, Pegasys Mr. Gary Goliath, World Bank Ms. Nathalie Smal, EMM: Roads and Storm Water 	
3.	CONFIRMATION OF AGENDA • The agenda was confirmed and adopted as is.	All



25 April 2016

Transport Management Centre 7 Kelvin Road Spartan 09:00-12:00

4.	THE PRESENTATION: ENABLING LAND VALUE CAPTURE IN EKURHULENI (REVISED DRAFT)	Presenter: Sheenagh Bruce
	 Overview of the presentation by Omar Cupido LVC is born out of the fact that sustainability within the IRPTN is a major concern. Various ways of mitigating huge expenses to the City have been given consideration. The presentation therefore looks at how LVC fits into the municipality's current thinking, documentation and strategies and what has been done so far. The importance of this discussion lies in how we are going to take LVC forward in terms of the technical aspects of the institution, actors' responsibilities et cetera. Further input to be discussed by members of the LUTI subcommittee. 	
	Input by Martin Bekker • Zinzile Seepie has been invited to the meeting, with the understanding that the Premier's office seeks to promote a coordination, integration and cooperation between municipalities and provincial government.	
	 Input by Zinzile Seepie GDRT's specific focus at EMM is its strategic location as a gateway to Gauteng Province. In terms of transportation, the focus is on integrating transport systems that extend beyond EMM to different municipalities and leading to other provinces. GDRT is currently looking for projects (for their next financial year), for example RSDF, MSDF or any strategic drive, on which interventions can be made to assist in further developing plans. 	
	BACKGROUND	
	 Pegasys involvement: Pegasys has been doing work with the EMM IRPTN Business Planning around the financial work stream. LVC came up previously as a potential for seeking additional 	
	- Lvo came up previously as a potential for seeking additional	



25 April 2016

Transport Management Centre 7 Kelvin Road Spartan 09:00-12:00

revenue and to make the IRPTN more affordable.

- A study that was done in 2013 explored factors of the IRPTN and the purpose of the work that we are doing now is to reopen that discussion. This is mainly because from an institutional point of view, the focus of the study was generally narrow.
- The purpose of this session is to get comments on the draft report as these will contribute to the first deliverable and to find a way forward.

STUDY OBJECTIVES

- The aim of the study was to re-open LVC discussion with a specific focus on the IRPTN and how we could approach LVC with this project demand.
- The initial idea was to think about:
 - the need for developing a strategy for LVC relating to the IRPTN
 - 2. an approach to implementation.

BACKGROUND ON THE IRPTN

- A dispersed urban form of the metropolitan area generally presents a range of challenges around infrastructure provision. It is therefore expensive and less efficient to provide services (including water, electricity, transport etc) to low density populations over this area.
- Major questions around the IRPTN include 'how can we make it more efficient and effective? How can it provide systems that will serve the populations in the future?'

LOCATING LVC

- Financial viability of the IRPTN as a catalyst project, the IRPTN fits into economic development and spatial discussions and it has the potential to stimulate investment in the broader municipal area by making places of origin and destination more accessible.
- IRPTN has the potential to increase spatial sustainability by improving the mobility of individuals and groups between places of origin and destinations.
- Partnerships are necessary to enable economic development along the IRPTN and to support increases in tax revenues.
- NB: Economic development within municipalities is based on



25 April 2016

Transport Management Centre 7 Kelvin Road Spartan 09:00-12:00

relationships (e.g with the private sector, other government institutions and academics). These relationships enable development to happen.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Given the financial, socio-spatial and partnership challenges how can the municipality influence development that supports transport precincts to improve operational affordability and spatial efficiencies?

POTENTIAL SOLUTION

Financial challenge

• LVC is in many ways a municipal fiscal tool to assist government in capturing tangible monetary value.

Socio-spatial challenge

- LVC also has development-based opportunities which may have a stronger social value.
- TOD is suggested as an urban design approach that can provide aid in aligning land use and public transport.

Partnership challenge

 Development of strategic partnerships that are based on LVC and TOD.

LAND VALUE CAPTURE

- In terms of the IRPTN, LVC is a public transport infrastructure investment.
- Agglomeration of land uses and economic activities as well as private investment - As the IRPTN becomes more established, certain areas become more desirable and as a result either property values increase or people start locating to these areas with increased accessibility. These agglomerations have the potential to mitigate social development and spatial challenges.
- **External factors** Potential value increase is not uniform and is not guaranteed. We need to think about how we are going to encourage this value creation.
 - NB: Value refers to financial value as well as social value.
- LVC tools: LVC is understood as a basket of tools which are either tax or fee-based or development (land) based.



25 April 2016

Transport Management Centre 7 Kelvin Road Spartan 09:00-12:00

SUMMARY OF THE INITIAL CONSIDERATIONS

- Implementation of LVC is context specific
- LVC is not an isolated tool The conceptual framework has paired up LVC with TOD and strategic partnerships to emphasize the financial and social considerations that create real value for EMM to overcome its spatial and broader infrastructure challenges.
- Infrastructure investment is not the only factor of value creation.
- Language and messaging emphasize the idea of creating value by finding a language that supports the agenda of LVC.
- Any project that seeks to implement LVC tools requires a champion - because of the complexity of the development of the right package of LVC tools for a project and the implementation of those tools in a project.
- That champion needs to strategically drive partnerships that will enable opportunities that the municipality is not be able to undertake on its own because of constrains such as land, microeconomic context, the need to spread its risk and understanding the role of the municipality as an enabler (not as a developer).

***These points highlight the complexity of LVC

INSTITUTIONAL DISCUSSION

- There are questions of where LVC should sit within EMM the case may be that each LVC tool requires certain input from different departments.
- The presentation was followed by a question and answer session

* Response by Martin Bekker

- The municipality does not have a very coordinated integrated strategy towards LVC.
- An assessment of all municipal policies and plans has to be followed by a conclusion that clarifies the reason as to why a properly coordinated and structured strategy is needed towards LVC.
- There is a need to define factors that impede land value



25 April 2016

Transport Management Centre 7 Kelvin Road Spartan 09:00-12:00

	09:00-12:00	
	 increase around TODs. A differentiation has to be made between A) tools that need to be implemented to increase land value B) and tools are needed to capture the increment in land value. Martin suggests that members of the SA Cities Network be consulted with regards to this as they have previously conducted a study on the topic. 	
5.	DISCUSSION ON INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS WITHIN THE EMM	AII
	 According to Martin Bekker, the sub-committee may not be considered to be ready to have a proper discussion on institutional analysis and arrangement for the project until the tools referred to (points A and B) above are determined. These tools will enable us to adequately discuss institutional arrangements such as "Who needs to be involved?" and the role they need to play. Omar Cupido suggests that EMM has to have an internal discussion on which to discuss who the custodian of the project will be going forward. 	
6.	 OTHER MATTERS GDRT's concern: There are questions of whether LVC tools may be applicable at a cross border scale of implementation, so as to facilitate seamless movement in the Gauteng City Region. In response to this, Omar Cupido highlighted that in terms of integration, although the IRPTN is born within the EMM, one of the areas that the City manager is now concentrating on is the extension of Phase 1 of the IRPTN into Midrand through Ivory Park which falls under CoJ. Omar Cupido suggests that the LUTI sub-committee becomes the oversight body for LVC. Recommendations gathered from this meeting will be incorporated into the final draft report. A final draft of the report will be circulated to the members with the hope of getting more input. 	All
7.	NEXT MEETING Date of next quarterly meeting was not discussed.	



25 April 2016

Transport Management Centre 7 Kelvin Road Spartan 09:00-12:00

8.	CLOSURE	Omar Cupido
	Omar Cupido adjourned the meeting and thanked everyone for coming.	



25 April 2016

Transport Management Centre 7 Kelvin Road Spartan 09:00-12:00

ACRONYMS:

CoJ City of Johannesburg

EMM Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality

GDRT Gauteng Department of Roads and Transport

IRPTN Integrated Rapid Public Transport Network

LUTI Land Use and Transport Integration

LVC Land Value Capture

MSDF Metropolitan Spatial Development Framework

PRASA Passenger Rail Agency of South Africa

RSDF Regional Spatial Development Framework

TOD Transit Orientated Development



APPENDIX E



THELLE MOGOERANE PRECINCT

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT REPORT



FEBRUARY 2017





For:

Metropolitan Planning Division City Planning Department

Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality Ground Floor, Markem Building 10 Margeret Ave Kempton Park

Tel: +27 (0)11 999 4026

Email: MartinBekker@ekurhuleni.gov.za



Ву:

Iyer Urban Design Studio

Johannesburg

Office 301e, 3rd floor, The Main Change,

20 Kruger Street (Cnr. Main),

Maboneng Precinct, Johannesburg

T +27 11 592 0510/0511

F +27 11 566 0003

Durban

28 Devonshire Ave,

Glenwood,

Durban, 4001

Tel: +27 31 202 9550

www.iyer.co.za



PLANNING URBAN DESIGN ARCHITECTURE

iyer.co.za

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	4
1. APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	5
2. THELLE MOGOERANE PRECINCT OVERVIEW	6
3. THE ROLE OF ENGAGEMENT WITHIN THE PROJECT CONTEXT	7
4. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT EVENTS	8
4.1.Precinct Technical Forum & Charrette	
4.2.Public Open Day	
4.3.Key stakeholder engagement	
4.4. Access to precinct plans and comment box	
CONCLUSION	18
ANNEXURE A – ATTENDANCE REGISTERS	19
ANNEXURE B – COMMENTS RECEIVED	27
ANNEXURE C – AGENDA	68
ANNEXURE D – EMAIL INVITATIONS TO KEY EXTERNAL STAKEHOLDERS	70

INTRODUCTION

Iyer Urban Design Studio (Iyer) are appointed by the Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality (EMM) as specialist consultants for The Ekurhuleni Urban Design Initiative.

The Ekurhuleni Urban Design Initiative involves three main components:

- The formulation of a metro-wide Urban Design Policy;
- The establishment of an Urban Design Review Committee;
- The formulation of Urban Design Precinct Plans.

formulation of a range of planning and urban design initiatives, including a number of Urban Design Precinct Plans for priority areas within the Municipality. These urban design precinct plans, supported by 3D-modeling, provides a visual and spatial vision of the manner in which development in such precincts could manifest, showing the envisaged built form, the key urban design structuring elements, and spatial strategies and projects to catalyse development. The Urban Design Precinct Plans will further be underpinned by a metro-wide Urban Design Policy, which will contribute towards the establishment of a clear metropolitan (city) identity. In line with the Spatial Vision outlined in the State of the City Address, the following

Precinct Plans have been initiated by the City Planning Department:

The detail of the above strategies and initiatives begins to manifest through the

- The Thelle Mogoerane Precinct in Vosloorus, as part of the Thelle Mogoerane Corridor:
- The Dries Niemandt Precinct in Kempton Park, part of the Aerotropolis Corridor;
- The Kempton Park CBD Precinct, key to both the Aerotropolis Corridor and the Thami Mnyele Corridors;
- The Bredell Precinct, central to the growth of the logistics potential and capacity of the Aerotropolis Corridor;
- The Germiston Lake Precinct, encompassing the Victoria Lake area and Rand Airport, a key Precinct in the Corridor.
- The Primrose Precinct, focused on the growth and densification of the suburb located north of Germiston CBD;
- The Benoni CBD Precinct which aims to revive the CBD into a sustainable and liveable 24-hour precinct;
- The Dunnottar Precinct, addresses the sustainable growth of the area in a mixed-use housing and agri-zone.

This report is an overview of the stakeholder engagement process undertaken for the Thelle Mogoerane Precinct. The report describes the approach and methodology used to guide the engagement process, an overview of the Thelle Mogoerane Precinct, the role of engagement in the project context, unpacks the various stakeholder engagement events and a portfolio of evidence is included in the appendices of the report.

1. APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

The Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Spatial Planning Division, with the assistance of lyer, facilitated the stakeholder engagement process, which was structured in a way that it adopts a multi-disciplinary and collaborative approach to design. The process was one that provided unique opportunities for the community of each precinct to intervene in development processes and to assist in guiding growth towards a future urban form that is more inclusive, more integrated, and ultimately more sustainable from an urban point of view.

Stakeholder engagement and ongoing consultation with relevant role-players is central to the success of the broader Ekurhuleni Urban Design Project. In this regard, some very clear strategies as to how these participatory processes can become part to the broader policy and plan development processes were used to inform the process. The stakeholder engagement process targeted both internal and external stakeholders on a number of engagement platforms, at specific moments in the planning and design process.

The engagement process for the Thelle Mogoerane Precinct followed the below structure:

- Internal Precinct Technical Forum A Technical Forum, comprising members of the client body, officials from the relevant regional office, and departmental representatives was compiled.
- Technical Input Draft Precinct Plan reports were circulated to the same members of the client body, officials from the relevant regional office, and departmental representatives, to comment on from a technical point of view and ensure alignment with other policies and plans. This ensured that the reports were accurate before being made available to the public.
- Public Open Day Draft precinct plan proposals were made available at a public open day where any members of the public could view the proposals and make informed comments

2. THELLE MOGOERANE PRECINCT OVERVIEW

The Thelle Mogoerane Hospital Precinct is located in the eastern region of Vosloorus, in Region F of the Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality. As the name suggests, the Precinct is anchored by the newly developed Thelle Mogoerane Hospital, a Provincial Hospital built to replace the old Natalspruit Hospital. As with other previous "township" areas that characterise most South African Cities, the precinct remains largely marginalised from mainstream urban activity. The investment related to the new Hospital, however, does provide scope for exploring the longer term role of the areas as a new nodal area, with potential to provide a range of social and commercial

opportunities, linked into the broader context through the current IRPTN system proposals that connect into the precinct.

The Vosloorus area of Ekurhuleni is a historically disadvantaged area of the Municipality, with high levels of unemployment and low income levels. The Precinct Plan thus has a key role to play through providing a structure to guide public investment within the precinct in such a way that it add values to, and creates opportunity for a range of urban activities(and jobs), within the area.

3. THE ROLE OF ENGAGEMENT WITHIN THE PROJECT CONTEXT

The physical composition of many local urban environments alludes to spaces out of sync with contemporary planning and urban design ideals: spaces that solely reflect the "hand" of professional custodians. The transparent engagement process seeks to build on the new ideals of inclusiveness and ownership to bolster sustainability. South African planning is at the cusp of its development with varying experts labelling the continent as one of great potential. Metros, such as Ekurhuleni, of great natural resource and land capacity should not be allowed to fall by the wayside. The actual responsible generation for establishing transformed urban centres of excellence is just emerging. Comprehensive engagement allows the emergent generation to be informed of Urban Development.

The stakeholder engagement process is to invigorate local sense of policy comprehension, the democratic process, to expose the city's principle's in a logical transparent manner and a cohesive vision that catalyses the daily aspirations of developing metropolis. Thus, stakeholder participation is an important component of community development and reflects an Advocacy approach equitably rooted in the eclectic citizenry of Ekurhuleni. One of the major aims of community development is to encourage participation of all stakeholders. Indeed, community development has been defined as a social process resulting from citizen participation. Through citizen participation, a broad cross- section of the community is encouraged to identify and articulate their own goals, design their own methods of change, and pool their resources in the problem- solving process.

Thus, comprehensive stakeholder participation becomes essential for:

- Enhancing effectiveness as various stakeholders bring understanding, knowledge and experience essential to the regeneration process.
- Community definitions of need, problems and solutions are different from those put forward by service planners and providers;
- Enabling policy to be relevant to local communities;
- Ensuring success of this project, the following principles guided the project team and the related activities relevant to Stakeholder engagement for this project.

Stakeholder engagement is an important component with regards to facilitating, discussing and encouraging social development. It allows for the opportunity to, in a focussed manner, define the main issues which are important, applicable and relevant to a project, its context and its stakeholders. Effective and streamlined communication is vital in encouraging and facilitating transparency and ultimately finding appropriate solutions to sensitivities and community concerns. Participation in stakeholder engagement ranges from information dissemination and sharing to full partnership with stakeholders

4. STAKEHOLDER EVENTS

Event Date	Event Type	Target group	Event Venue	Attendee/Stakeholder
06 June 2015	Site vist	Internal	Thelle Mogoerane Precinct	Client Body & Precinct Planning Forum
10 December 2015	Precinct Technical Forum & Charrette	Internal	Boardroom 1 & 2, Investment Centre	Precinct Planning Forum
18 February 2017	Thelle Mogoerane Open Day	External, public	J.Dumane Hall	General Public

Table 1: Summary table of Stakeholder Engagement Events

The engagement process for the Thelle Mogoerane Precinct followed the below structure:

- Internal Precinct Technical Forum
- Public Open Day
- Key stakeholder engagement

4.1. Precinct Technical Forum & Charrette

These consultative design workshops/charrettes were held in the preliminary stages of the precinct planning, following the initial research work and status quo definition. The intent of these workshop was to establish a means of consultation with key local (Departmental) role-players who would likely be involved in the implementation of the relevant Precinct Plan. The workshops focused on presenting the initial research findings and key issues to the 'Technical Forum', and working through the opportunities and challenges within the precinct, culminating in a general agreement on a spatial vision & way forward.

The event was two pronged,

- Firstly a full day site visit and information exchange session.
- Charrette focusing on the Thelle Mogoerane Hospital Precinct (New Natal Spruit Hospital Precinct).

ROLE PLAYERS

The workshops were organised by Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Spatial Planning with assistance from Iyer Urban Design Studio.

A 'Technical Forum', comprising members of the client body, officials from the relevant regional offices, and departmental representatives, were present at the workshops

Refer to attendance registers in Annexure A. NOTIFICATION/ AWARENESS TOOLS

ENGAGEMENT TOOLS

Attendees and organizers were briefed on the purpose of the project and a site visit was then conducted, with all attendees transported collectively. Base maps and aerial photos were used to engage with the site.

The attendees then returned to the EMM boardroom for an information session. Findings from the site visit and additional insights were shared and used to identify key issues and potential strategies for the precinct.

The site visit was followed by a Design Workshop/Charrette. Iyer compiled a summary presentation of the site visit, key findings and potential strategies. These were discussed further and distilled into a way forward for design of the precinct.

KEY OUTCOMES

The workshop and site visit was central to getting to grips with the scale of the precinct and unpacking the key issues underpinning the study area. A number of key issues were identified:

- Significance of open space system from an environmental point of view and impact of 'illegal' land uses on this system
- Impact of illegal land-uses
- Development constraints e.g. Dolomitic Land, limited services & infrastructure
- Road Access & conflict between heavy vehicles, cars and pedestrians
- Limited Public Transport
- Development uncertainty
- Proximity to VosloorusTown Centre
- Continual low-density expansion of fringe

A visioning process allowed for an initial set of strategies and interventions to emerge:

- Green infrastructure
- Responding to Aerotropolis
- Public Investment Strategy
- Building Community
- Urban Management
- Appropriate and Positive interfaces

The charrette and feedback session was followed-up with several one-on-one meetings with key internal stakeholders such as Roads Department, Real Estate (SLP) and Environmental Management.

4.2. Public Open Day

Following input from key internal stakeholders, a draft Precinct Plan was prepared and a process of public engagement with the local community was held. The purpose of the Open Day was to invite the Public and Key Stakeholders to learn more about the Urban Design initiative, be introduced to the draft precinct plan concepts and provide comments and feedback.

Date: Saturday, 18 February 2016 Time: Between 10h00 to 14h00 Venue: J.Dumane Hall, Vosloorus.

ROLE PLAYERS

The Open Day event was organised by Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Spatial Planning with assistance from Iyer.

The event was open to any member of the public who had an interest in the process and the study area. The event was attended by concerned residents, ward committee members, members of resident and business associations etc.

Refer to attendance registers in Annexure A.

NOTIFICATION/ AWARENESS TOOLS

- Key stakeholders (which included Thelle Mogoerane Hospital Management, Consulting planners, Ward Councillors) were targeted via email invitation;
- Advertisement in the local newspaper, Khathorus News;
- Bulk SMSs were sent to the Metro database:
- Ekurhuleni Website link from main landing page allowing; download facility for reports, submission of electronic comments (online form), submission of written comments (e-mail), links from other relevant websites (ie: Planning Institute, etc), dedicated email address created to receive written comments;
- Information on overall project placed on EMM Social Media Platforms, including Facebook Page; Twitter; LinkedIn.
- Flyers were distributed at local shopping centres.
- On the day of the event loud hailing was done by our Customer relations Management [CRM] department in the Vosloorus area inviting the community to the open day.



The City of Ekurhuleni is formulating an Urban Design Precinct Plan for the areas around the Thelle Mogoerane Hospital in Vosloorus. These precinct plans will serve to guide possible future development within the areas under consideration. In addition, an overarching Ekurhuleni Urban Design Policy is being formulated to supplement the said precinct plans.

In developing this precinct plan, the Municipality is requesting input from the public and key stakeholders. Draft strategies and concepts have been prepared to serve as a basis for inputs. The Ekurhuleni City Planning Department will be running an Open Day session, through which you are invited to learn more about this initiative and provide your suggestions and comments.

Date: Saturday, 18 February 2017
Time: Between 10h00 to 14h00 (at your convenience)
Venue: J. Dumane Hall,
Vosloorus

Draft documents can be viewed:

- On the City's website www.ekurhuleni.gov.za and you can make an input electronically.
- Boksburg City Planning Offices, (3rd Floor, Boksburg Civic Centre, cm. Trichardts & Commissioner Streets, Boksburg)
- Vosloorus Library (M.C. Botha Drive, Vosloorus) Library operating hours: Mon – Fri: 09h00-16h30; Sat: 08h00-13h00).

To make an input:

- Forward in writing by e-mail to urbandesign@ ekurhuleni.gov.za,
- Submit in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning Offices or Vosloorus Library,
- Post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at P O Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620,
- Hand deliver to City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park.

Deadline for inputs: Monday, 20 March 2017.



a partnership that works www.ekurhuleni.gov.za

Figure 1: Advertisement for affected areas around the Thelle Mogoerane Precinct in the Kathorus Mail and in local shopping centres.

E-mail notification/invitation

----- Forwarded message ------

From: "Martin Bekker (Kempton Park)" < Martin.Bekker@ekurhuleni.gov.za>

To: "nomonde.mqhayi@gmail.com" <nomonde.mqhayi@gmail.com>, "Witness.Damane@gauteng.gov.za" <Witness.Damane@gauteng.gov.za>, "Patrick.Ntuli@gauteng.gov.za" <Patrick.Ntuli@ gauteng.gov.za>, "Gumani.Netshituni@gauteng.gov.za" <Gumani.Netshituni@gauteng.gov.za>, Mzwandile.Wondo <Mzwandile.Wondo@ekurhuleni.gov.za>, Makhosi Lehari <Makhosi.Lehari@ekurhuleni.gov.za>, Linah Mkhize <Linah.Mkhize@ekurhuleni.gov.za>

Date: Thu, 16 Feb 2017 15:01:32 +0000

Subject: FW: Thelle Mogoerane Hospital Urban Design Precinct Plan: Notification of "Open Day" and invitation for inputs / comments

Dear Dr. Mghayi-Mbambo, Mrs. Damane, Mr. Ntuli, Mr. Netshituni, Councillor Wondo, Councillor Lehari, Councillor Mkhize

The Ekurhuleni City Planning Department is busy with a project which involves the formulation of Urban Design Precinct Plans for selected areas in Ekurhuleni. These precinct plans will serve as basis to guide possible future development within the respective areas for which precinct plans are being formulated.

One of the precincts for which plans are being formulated is the areas surrounding the Thelle Mogoerane Hospital. The aerial photo included below shows the precinct boundary.

Included below and in the attached file, please find an invitation to an "open day" scheduled for engagement in respect of this Urban Design Precinct Plan in process of being formulated for the area around the Thelle Mogoerane Hospital in Vosloorus.

The draft urban design precinct plan for comments and inputs will also be uploaded on the Ekurhuleni website, but should you require any further information at this stage, you are welcome to contact us.

Looking forward to seeing you at the "open day" on Saturday and receiving your inputs. However, should you not be able to attend the "open day" you can still view the draft urban design precinct plan on the Ekurhuleni website (in your own time) and forward your comments / inputs to us by the due date stated in the notice below.

Should you wish to meet with us in regard to the proposed urban design precinct plan, please let us know, then we will glad to make an appointment to meet with you.

Kind regards,

Martin Bekker, Pr. Pln Metropolitan Spatial Planning Division **City Planning Department Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality**



Telephone +27(0)11-999-4026

martin.bekker@ekurhuleni.gov.za Website www.ekurhuleni.gov.za

Postal PO Box 13

Kempton Park, 1620 Physical

Ground Floor, Markem Building 10 Margaret Ave, Kempton Park



Date: Saturday, 18 February 2017 Time: Between 10h00 to 14h00 (at your convenience Venue: J. Dumane Hall.

Post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning at P O Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, Hand deliver to City Planning Corpu

Deadline for inputs: Monday, 20 March 2017.





This is an e-mail that was sent separately out to invite parties (Thelle Mogoerane Hospital Management, Consulting planners, Ward Councillors) to the Thelle Mogoerane Precinct Open Day.

SMS notification/invitation



An SMS-notification/invitation sent to 3980 cell phone numbers for people in the surrounding Vosloorus area

4.4. Access to draft precinct plans and comment box

Interested parties and general public could also access Draft Precinct Plan Reports at·

- Ekurhuleni website: https://www.ekurhuleni.gov.za/download-precinct-plan
- Boksburg CCC City Planning Office
- Vosloorus Library



Draft Precinct Plan Reports and a comment box at the Boksburg CCC City Planning Office.





Draft Precinct Plan Reports and a comment box at the Vosloorus library.



Draft Precinct Plan Reports and a comment box at the Vosloorus library. Draft Precinct Plan Reports and a comment box at the Boksburg CCC City Planning Office.









URBAN DESIGN PRECINCTS HAVE YOUR SAY!!!



URBAN DESIGN PRECINCT PLANS

A precinct plan defines the desired development direction of the precinct and recommends a range of public realm projects to facilitate new relationships between the public and private realms. The precinct planning process envisaged for the current project is viewed as an ongoing process of local area elaboration and planning (complementing and supporting the Metropolitan and Regional Spatial Development Frameworks of the municipality) rather than merely a series of independent products.

In line with the Spatial Vision outlined in the State of the City Address, the following Precinct Plans have been initiated by the City Planning Department:

. The Thelle Mogoerane Precinct in Vosloorus, as part of the Thelle Mogoerane Corridor,

Figure 3: Screen shot of the link from main landing page of Ekurhuleni Website to the Urban design initiative

Urban Design Menu + URBAN DESIGN + Open Day Events + Why urban design? + Urban design policy

ENGAGEMENT TOOLS

Posters summarising the key findings and strategies for the precinct were displayed. A video showing the proposed strategies and design concepts were also displayed. Project team members from lyer and officials from the Metropolitan Spatial Planning Division were available to offer explanation and receive comment on the proposals. Hard copies of the draft reports and summary pamphlets were available for perusal and comment responses. Stakeholders were made further aware on how to engage the online content of the project.

Draft precinct plan reports were made available online and physical copies were placed in the Vosloorus library for review with a comments box to receive formal, written comments. The comments period was one month after the Open day.

KEY OUTCOMES

The Open Day event was well attended by the community as well as key stakeholders. Several issues were raised in relation to the proposals and relevant comments were considered when finalising the proposals.

The event also played a key role in identifying additional key stakeholders to engage with in more detail on the strategies proposed in the precinct plans.

Refer to Annexure B for a summary of the comments received.

CONCLUSION

The range of engagement events has allowed for a diverse set of inputs and comments into the Draft Thelle Mogoerane Precinct Plan adding to its relevance as a key planning document to guide development in the area. By following this multi-levelled approach to stakeholder engagement, the concept of co-production has enabled the project team to gain insight into the dynamics and realities of the precinct far beyond what they could have done on its own.

The feedback from stakeholders has generally been very positive and supportive of the vision for the precinct. The inputs received ranged from the everyday lived experiences of the study area to much more focused and technical inputs. The challenges to implementing the precinct vision have been raised are more technical. For example, the future alignment of major provisional routes which hamper cohesive urban development.

The Open Day session held in Vosloorus was relatively well-attended by local residents and local business owners, and became a fruitful session with robust discussions. The former group were interested in how the precinct will develop into a more functional node as they constantly referred to the currently existing but unused taxi rank.

Questions from local business owners were focused on trying to understand the level at which local businesses were taken into consideration during the planning phase. They also wanted to know if there is a way their businesses could benefit from the development. On the other hand, locals did not make direct inputs on the precinct plan itself as they seemed to be more interested in the implementation phase. Most of their questions sought information about the timeframes of the project implementation and the employment of local labour. These are valid concerns considering that Vosloorus as a previously marginalised township with high levels of unemployment and low income levels.

Overall, the precinct plan was well received and appreciated by locals. The feedback received during and after each presentation was positive.



FORMULATION OF URBAN DESIGN POLICY, PRECINCT PLANS & REVIEW COMMITTEE:

PRECINCT FORUM WORKSHOP:

DRIES NIEMANDT PRECINCT PLAN & THELLE MOGOERANE HOSPITAL PRECINCT PLAN

DATE

10 December 2015

VENUE

Boardroom 1 & 2; Investment Centre; 1 Monument Road; Kempton Park

TIME

13H30

Mthokozisi Mlotshwa Planning 993868 mthokozisi mto tshwa 20 ekurhuleni . gov. 2a Magneti PANL HANGER NER NERON 0824165345 POUL O'WO. CO. 20 ENG Wayne Majuleke City Planning 999 9104 etushuleni .gov. 2a Charlotta moknethi co charlotta moknethi co ekurhuleni .gov. 2a. Alale Siyandu ngobo a ekrhuleni .gov. 2a. Siyandu ngobo a ekrhuleni .gov. 2a. Siyandu ngobo a ekrhuleni .gov. 2a.	Name	Organization / Department / CCA	Telephone	Email	Signature
SEAN LONG. BULLYAG COUTED 999 5378 SEAN LONG EKNEHULANGOZA DE NTISIKI MAHINA PARKS VOCITRUS 995381 NONTSIKEKO MAHINA MITHOROZISI Młobohwa Waku and Sanitaha 993868 RENTHURANI JON. 20 MANNING Planning. 993868 POULO INO. CO. 20 MANNING MALLER CITY Planning 999 9104 STUTMENI-go: 20 Charlotta: moknetni Co CHARLOTTA MOKHETHI HUMAN SETUKMENTS 99-7967 EKURNICH OGO. 20. Za. Charlotta: moknetni Co CHARLOTTA MOKHETHI HUMAN SETUKMENTS 99-7967 EKURNICH OGO. Za. CAROLO CITY PLANNING 9996186 Syandu. ngcubo Q EKIRULUN SAMJOL.	MACIN BEKKER	SPATIAL PLANNING	999-4026	martin better acturbaleni. 902	
MISIKI Melliva Parks Voslorus 995381 nontsiketelo mellivace Amellin 1900.000 Amelling Melokozisi Mlobahwa Waler and Sanitahai 993868 methokozisi mto bahwa Deporter Merching 1993868 ekurhuleni gev. 2a Megali Paning 1993868 poul@ingr. Co. 20 togali Wayne malluleke @ tokaleni gev. 2a Charlotta moknetni co char	Serard Mac Carron	u	999-2273	maccarrow elm	
Mthokozisi Mlotshwa Planning 993868 POULO ind. CO. 20 Planning POULO ind. CO. 20 Planning Pla	San Long.	Bulling Confeol.	999 5378		as JB
Mayne Malulete City Planning 999 9104 studies and lutete a Charlotta moknethica charlotta moknethi charlotta mokne		PARKS VOSITRUS	995381	ekurhyleni ogologa	Amella
Nayne Maluleke City Planning 999 9104 studies and luteke a Charlotta moknethic charlot		Planning.	993868	ekurhuleni. 100.2a	Monto
Nayne Maluleke City Planning 999 9104 strombenings. 201. 201. CHARLOTTA MOKHETHI HUMAN SETTLEMENTS 99-7967 ekurhweningav. Za. Allole SIYANDA 6 NGLOBO CITY PLANNING 9996186 Siyanda. nglobo @ ekrhulin Siyanda. nglobo @ ekrhulin Siyanda. nglobo @ ekrhulin	PAUL HANGER			paul@ingr. co. 20	£00
CHARLOTTA MOKHETHI HUMAN SETTLEMENTS 99-7967 charlottaimoknethico eturhweni gav. Za. Etholicoso City Planning 9996186 Syanda ngubo @ ekrhulin Singal	1	City Planning	999 9104	etishuleni-gos. 29	THE .
SIYANDA & NECOBO CITY PLANNING 9996186 Syandangerbo @ ekrhulen Snyld		9		eturnmenj.gov.za.	Phole
				Syanda. ngcobo @ exchelen.	Suga
The state of the s	Lebo Radebe	Nor	011999 19341	Lebo-radeher ekumuleni Lov-z	· ()

FORMULATION OF URBAN DESIGN POLICY, PRECINCT PLANS & REVIEW COMMITTEE:

PRECINCT FORUM WORKSHOP:

DRIES NIEMANDT PRECINCT PLAN & THELLE MOGOERANE HOSPITAL PRECINCT PLAN

DATE

10 December 2015

VENUE

Boardroom 1 & 2; Investment Centre; 1 Monument Road; Kempton Park

TIME : 13H

TIME : 13H30 Name	Organization / Department / CCA	Telephone	Email	Signature
Autorette Mogaen	Health 400 KD	99-3592	antoinette mogaleen	10
MIKE DE SMART.	CITY MANNING	99-3811.	MIKES @ EXWRAULENI. 600.21	
Shates Mashabela	CITY PLANTINS	99 - 4773	Motsheye. Mashalela @ ekumuleni. gv.	za HORO
Muezi Maingisane	I YER URBAN DESIGN	011 592 0510	maczi@iyer.co.za	gran -
Esabh vor Mera.	ERM	99.3013	elsabeth. velmorue a ekurtule	
Uyanda Langa	Transport	99 2075	uyarda langa@dechulenigar.	a El



STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT WORKSHOP: THELLE MOGOERANE URBAN DESIGN PRECINCT PLAN

DATE

: 1817 February 2017

VENUE

J. Dumane Hall, Vosloorus

TIME

10H00 - 14H00

Name	Interest / Organisation Represented	Cell Phone no./ Telephone no.	E-mail address	How were you notified of this engagement?	Signature
J. grath might	COMMENT	07312660	6 Z97. DUBAZAMA	New leafy.	5 700
Sibusisa Ziqubu	Community	0711478123	SSZiqubu Ogmail	news letter	A.
GHERI HADEBE	COMMUNITY	0726155665	BHERI HADEST @ EKNRHGOTI	Δ .	ROAS
MART MOTHA	Community	6738310216		Other person	A.
Dan Morela	5	_ ^	Nyblers egmant. Con	tolephone	A
hughe shale	Commensty	0715015655	longile stende @ welone		De
Thabo Trotetsi	Commenita	0727324284	david. Esotetsi @ 9kzonobel	· coler Lentenet	Helma
FLYAH KOIEE	11 11		KOJEEELIJAHQQMAIL.CO		Sizh
Suborquie Nysumale	Commandy	073-871-2715		Newspaper.	V
K; Auf No 7820	08269-44	089.69.44599		narbout	21/



STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT WORKSHOP: THELLE MOGOERANE URBAN DESIGN PRECINCT PLAN

DATE : |8 17 February 2017

VENUE : J. Dumane Hall, Vosloorus

TIME : 10H00 - 14H00

Name	Interest / Organisation Represented	Cell Phone no./ Telephone no.	E-mail address	How were you notified of this engagement?	Signature
Lebo Radebe	Web	011 999 4934	lebo.radebe@ethurhulenity	- /	A.T.
MARTIN BEKKER	EMM-SATIAL CAMING	799-4026.	martin bolker (eturnuleni gov. 39		·
Mphen Qotoyi	WARD 46 Com Membr	074 244 2795		SMS	M
Bert: Som Sphuthi	Resident x Z	0633464306	NA	Worder Mouth	Am
Lucky Nkosi	Resident	2838687759	biglicky 84@gmail-com	Katho Rus	J4Kers1
ESWARS Mollom,	Resident.	6734367595	N/A	SMS	BANG
uleka Wondo	Hard 46 Com. Momber	0717131386	NA	News Paper	Latton
JUADYS NYWANE	MARD 64 BUSINESS FOREN	0721984408	ng a ane alachysagnaile	MOLD OF	a. Mgaon
SAM ZWANE	WARDYY COMMYNITY	0810101065	SIFISO Z 99 Rgmail. com	NEWS LETTER	Muoma
Edward Magni	Ward 43 Comp		edward in kangen!		8

Attendance Register

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT WORKSHOP: THELLE MOGOERANE URBAN DESIGN PRECINCT PLAN

DATE

18 # February 2017

VENUE

J. Dumane Hall, Vosloorus

TIME :

10H00 - 14H00

Name	Interest / Organisation Represented	Cell Phone no./ Telephone no.	E-mail address	How were you notified of this engagement?	Signature
S. Khumhuzo	Skhumz Enterprise	081 8887/73	Sikhumbuzo.mbobo@	afriend.	Abet
Nteso	IKYYT Trading & Projects	0619287971	maboran@gmail.com	friend	Alaba
50nGo	Business	0731274420		Friend.	29
Lunga	wand 48 committy	O93418-6428		Councillor	(made
Sphosethy	word 45	0834020980	cityexcellence agmail.com	councillor	Bell :
Rachel Simandia	Commuty	0604736421		Clinic	Brand
grace Lumka	Community	0783140899	(1 1)	news Paren	5D-
ERNEST ZIMAGE	E.B.O.	079-555-1374	ERNESTZIMASGID &MAIL. COM	5M5	6
Matchepo kodisan	Per councillor		tohepi 00 7@ yahoo .om	1	Took .
BRIAN MAKUDA	Communoity		brakua@gmail.com	Newspaper	('AS

Attendance Register

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT WORKSHOP: THELLE MOGOERANE URBAN DESIGN PRECINCT PLAN

DATE

: 18 17 February 2017

VENUE

J. Dumane Hall, Vosloorus

TIME

10H00 - 14H00

Name	Interest / Organisation Represented	Cell Phone no./ Telephone no.	E-mail address	How were you notified of this engagement?	Signature
Amos	Community	व्यक्ता १८८४		by Phone	all s
FIKILE Mahlaba		0731144356	-	by phone	Exec
LAKIN PALMER	Community Mamber	012 3286833	Palmer lakin 24 @	By Phone	A Belle
Morky Ladrob	I Ward Gommittee	07803386/7	into & confratech corre	parthet	Achard
Lizza Magabe	Community Member	07395 85859	1720 Magabelly ganoil.com	by Phone	Inogsto.
CECIL MLWATINI	POULTRY LAMITED	0825134839	knt/watini@gmail.Ca	MENSTAPER	Cist
THHIRA TOFFAH	IYER	9087828080	, 1 = 0	-	Luis
Mamby MasonDo	Communition Mouse	0767757577	0 3	Deltons	æg,
Mondy. Mkhize	Commandy was		mond brukhize 871 Band	team. by Ens	1/2
The SibigA	Community Me		Sikle 19.55@gmail. Com		
		. 10		// 2	
				6	

a partnership that works

City of Ekurhuleni

Attendance Register

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT WORKSHOP: THELLE MOGOERANE URBAN DESIGN PRECINCT PLAN

DATE : 18 17 February 2017

VENUE : J. Dumane Hall, Vosloorus

TIME : 10H00 - 14H00

	Represented Telephone no.		How were you notified of this engagement?	Signature		
FIKILE	FATYICLA	NAFEOL BRUZZIUCETI	0791589381	FIKILE Qualed, ya frica. co.ze.	NOUSPAPER YES	(All)
Luces	Motoung	Community	0727120286			Hotong
			0796106838	Morosithoko mail	Yes.	Bruta
LAM		NAFEOL Elcurence		cefi	News Pares	LHOS
Siyan	da Ngobo	City Planning	0614280026	Swanda. Ndo 60 @ Emm	offical-Emm	8
SICHUMB	da NGOBO uso MISHAU	Iyer	0720331013	Syonda. Nyo bo @ Emm skhumbuzo@iyer.co.za	WORK	Affoli.
						-
	" =					





Which precinct plan is being commented on?	proposed, with 1 being the most important:
THELLE MOGOGRANE precinct plan	1. Transport
2. Interest in the area?	2. Social health 3. Social Levelopment
I am	3 - 1
Resident	20 cial Levelopment
Property Owner	4.
☐ Business Owner	
☐ Visitor	7. General Remarks/Comments
Other	Jet we can Stick to
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?	His plan (108 Cookis
Yes	1. mield so blaces
□ No	would . There
Please elaborate:	
It wice empower and	
The diese of the diese	
It will empowere oras	
bring money the to	8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
the beaple	FE-mail SILVe119.85@ganeil-Com
	E-man
4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?	
empowerment and focial Levelopment.	TSMS
Empower:00-00	079 085 9918
Levelopment.	Telephone 077 403 1968
	9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
	(=///
	Simi
5. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment
	box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the
***************************************	Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered
	to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
	THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT
	(5)
	City of

a partnership that works

6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT	6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
Which precinct plan is being commented on?	proposed, with 1 being the most important:
Thelee magaine development Trame	2. Social health.
2. Interest in the area?	2. Social health.
I am a: Resident Property Owner	3. 4.
Business Owner	
☐ Visitor	7. General Remarks/Comments
Other	
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? No	
Please elaborate:	
be good for the community in creating jobs on ect.	
in creating jobs on ect.	8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
	E-mail monollinkluire 871 agrent. con.
4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Social development and	□ sms
Emporment.	Telephone 343 983 8060
	9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
	Mondle Haulet Mahire.
5. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
	THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT	6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
1. Which precinct plan is being commented on?	proposed, with 1 being the most important:
Thelle Mogoerane	1. Phosy
2. Interest in the area?	2. Onel Started the
I am a:	
Resident	"de Velobment across all
Property Owner	blockutal - 1-1 CEIDE (11
Business Owner 19219	Many Varys. Sign Cutting
Visitor	7. General Remarks/Comments
Other	The general remark is that
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?	Young people must take advantage
Yes	of these developments.
No	VI - 10 A - 2 × 10
1. The development does not include word 64	Toung people are poor
2 thewhich has thee most poor people.	
2 All the proposal were previously for	
this, ward 64. Now the in and post is	8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
Ward 62 The proposed faxi rank word 45	E-mail into @ Confratect. cor Za,
Loses tall (Ward 45)	
4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?	SMS
Mall, houses and Economic area	7 22 22 20 > 7/13
The issue is quest around the	Telephone 0780338617
Indusper of \$15 act or its having	9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
to dematartion.	M. L. P / / 6 555 553 51 K
	11/0849 Nachabech & 78035201-
5. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment
Heeess Trom the gast and	box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the
South areas and of the	Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
hospital.	THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT
	THANK TOU FOR TOUR ENFUT

COM	MENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT
1. WI	nich precinct plan is being commented on?
1	nellamogerane Hospibal
2. Int	erest in the area?
g-minking.	a: Resident Property Owner
VI	Business Owner
	Visitor
	Other
	you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes
XII	No
Ple	see elaborate: All network a Meighboring wards. Stop excluding wardby Stop cutting our wardbyria dema
2	Stop excluding Wardby
3	Stop cutting our wardspira demo
4.	1
4. WI	Development (economie)
2.	1

5. WI	Access As the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
S	Access from the East and outh areas of the hospital to a economic development wear
fl	a economic development urea,

6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
proposed, with 1 being the most important:
1. Phase 1.
2. Phase's
3. 1
these t
4. Thase 2
7. General Remarks/Comments
1. The developments are good but
1. The developments are good but You don't like our ward 64 and we are poor. 2. You people of demarmacation. Always give word & 45 things. MXh
and we are poor.
a You be sole of domar me cation.
11 to the Maria
HIways give ward by 45 Things. WWW
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
E-mail Lizz-1 Magabel 4, gmail.com
7 0
₽ SMS
Telephone 073 9585859
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
Lizzy Magabe
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

		DEUELO	Activities and the second seco		THELLE	MOGDENA
2.	Interest	in the area?				
	I am a:	**				
	Reside					
		ty Owner				
	por many	ess Owner				
	Visitor					
	Other .					
3.		gree with the v	ision that has	s been prese	nted / described fo	or the precinct?
	Yes					
	☐ No					
	Please ela				. 0	
					SION BE	
	It	will.	OPEN	0001	S FOR	4POOMING
4.	What wo		er as the stro	ng points of	the proposed pre	
					STANK	25 ·

5.	What wo	uld you conside	er as the weal	k points of t	he proposed preci	nct plan?

6.	Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
	proposed, with 1 being the most important:
	1. THE RELACE CENTRE
	2. RESIDENTIALS
	3.
	4.
7.	General Remarks/Comments
	NA
8.	Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
	FE-mail Palmer. lotin 24 @ gmail.com
	SMS
	Telephone 012 3286833
9.	Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
	·
box' Eku to th	nments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the rhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered be City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton d, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
	THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT
-	City of Ekurhuleni

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT	
1. Which precinct plan is being commented on?	
THRUE MOGDERANE	
2. Interest in the area?	
I am a:	
Resident	
Property Owner	
L.] Business Owner	
Other INVESTER AGENT	
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? X Yes	
□ No	
Please elaborate:	
THE COMMONITY WILL BENEFIT	******
WILL CREATE JOBS	
4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?	
DEVELOPMENT 15 THE KEY	
5. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	
AT THE MOMENT NONG	
***************************************	******

6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
proposed, with 1 being the most important:
1. RETAIL CENTRG
2. BUSINGSS RETIFIL
3. TAXI RANK
4. RESIDENTIAL BEVELOPME
7. General Remarks/Comments
AS COMMUNITY WE ARE MAPPY
MORE THAN EVERYTHING
THIS DEVELOPMENT MUST CONTINUE
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
XE-mail ERNESTZIMASEL DOMAIL COM
₮ sms
Telephone 079-555/374
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
ERNEST ZIMASE
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

HELLE	LOGOERANE
Interest in the area?	
I am a:	
Resident Property Owner	
Business Owner	
☐ Visitor	
Other	
Do you agree with the vision	that has been presented / described for the precinct?
☐ No	
Please elaborate:	1 h.
RABINESS C	portund
township	rehabitation
What would you consider as t	the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
	ntre so going forms of the proposed precinct plan?
Octob Or	nel de heit
	up) yenent and
Nummiss	traffic congression
	V
What would you consider as t	the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
N/X	

6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
proposed, with 1 being the most important:
1. Residential development 2 Possible proposed clocker room
2 Possible proposed classon
3 Busines Rebul
4.
Jevelopment inthem the bornely will create Johns for the
Community
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
DE-mail made ste live con
DE-mail MAY 1. NOW (UND COM
□ sms
Telephone 0723917235
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
tshelus modia
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT


COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT 1. Which precinct plan is being commented on? MOGOERANE 2. Interest in the area? I am a: Resident Property Owner Business Owner ☐ Visitor ☐ Other 3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? V Yes ☐ No Please elaborate: The plan is very good 4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? shopping centre and transporting 5. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan? we need got centre

6.	Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
	proposed, with 1 being the most important:
	1. Shopping centre de transporting
	1. Shopping centre à transporting 2. Ont centre
	3.
	4.
7.	General Remarks/Comments
8 (ontact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
E	E-mail SSZiqubu@gmail.com
	- 0
L	SMS
p	Telephone 0711478123
1.	Telephone C. / 1. 7. / O. 1. ~ J
9. 1	Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
,	Optional
box" Ekur to the	nents can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the huleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
	HANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT
1	CONTROL TOUR INFUT

1.	THELE OGOEPANE
2.	Interest in the area?
	I am a:
	Resident
	Property Owner
	Business Owner
	Visitor
	Other
3.	Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes No
	Please elaborate:
	Yes i do aggre with
	a plan
4.	What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
	50 that it boost
	our conomy and
	creates Jobs For our
	Community
5.	What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
	if they make enough
	space and accepy
	the land with
	a Mall

	e what you would consider the most important projects that are 1 being the most important:
1. Mall	
3.	i rank
1 C(X	rank
4. Per 1	5
7. General Remar	ks/Comments
MO	COMMONES

	Preferred Method of Contact
E-man	······································
☐ SMS	······································
Telephone	0731274420
9. Name (It is option	onal for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
Sonto	Figlan
Comments can be sul box" at the Boksburg Ekurhuleni City Plan to the City Planning	omitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the ning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton, by no later than 20 March 2017.
THANK YOU FO	DR YOUR INPUT



1.	Which precinct plan is being commented on? HEUE McGOERANE
2.	Interest in the area?
	I am a:
	Resident
	Property Owner
	Business Owner
1	Visitor
	Other
3.	Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?
	Y Yes
	No
	Please elaborate:
	Nevelopment Minning seems
	do be in premise and
	comphes with the intensions
	DI son sals his o who cours about
	y your steep it y and zery out .
1.	What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
	, the new moses from to opportunity
	Condid by amployment mond chim to
	fat were magnificent faceuft of
	The area of the facelift of
i.	What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
	Her fechweal Asserment should
	Thus become an or himized New
	me puildnis
	Muficished taxy Rant which does
	not comply with new
	shot comply with new development senger.

6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
proposed, with 1 being the most important:
1. Rel-1
" Me fail
2. Mh-101
7,4000)
3. Apartments
4. Business Centre Shared by local 8m &
To posed seulopments for Eyes halen
Metog are offen hindered by
pan ful sed Hape and political
In threat buto projects.
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
ahenetine Beneil 100
X E-mail abenthize @ gmail. com
SMS
Telephone 083 6887741
Telephone
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
ABE MEHTLE
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the
Ekurnuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13. Kempton Park 1620, or hand delivered
to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT
AMERICA TOUR TOUR INFOI

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT
1. Which precinct plan is being commented on?
THELLE MOGRERAME HOSPILCAL.
2. Interest in the area?
Iama: 11-10100 KULFami
Resident
Property Owner
☐ Business Owner
Visitor
Other
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?
Yes
□ No
Please elaborate:
You car start building the.
4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
to Previde jobs. or job Creation
to Community

5. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
THERE IS NO WEEK-Points.
1.07 pic timb alama all 11
caz we tenderstand all. the.
Paints. They Explaint to us.

proposed, with 1 being the most important:	
1. 18- Shopping mall-for Communical Bousing.	r
4.	
7. General Remarks/Comments I wish they can start soon to sight fourty to our community	
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact	
DE-mail Morgosithocogi, van Coom	
□ SMS	
Telephone 079 610 6838	
O. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)	
Troco kutanie	
omments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment ox" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the kurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton oad, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.	
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT	



1. Which precinct plan is being commented on?	
THELLE MOGOERANE	
2. Interest in the area?	
I am a:	
Resident	
Property Owner	
Business Owner	
Visitor	
Other	
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct Yes	t?
□ No	
Please elaborate:	
It is clear to appear as the	
	ce.
	77
of this areal precinct plan t	hal
Seeks to better our lives.	
4 \$\$/1.4 11	***************************************
What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?	
Is that it Coyers the wide to	ange
spectitum to which all the	
There to at the Co	- 7
various of the community	4
but we need the stake &	ich
the operational benefits.	
. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	
is that your plan was place	4964
to our Lattention For Come	solerate
at an advanced stom	200
on All agranted signe.	
<u> </u>	

6.	Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
	proposed, with 1 being the most important:
	1. The Fact that your proposal

The fact that your proposed plan
needs to speak with the
3. Cusall bigging manager to
"at their structures level. (E.g) we.
4. at their structures level. (E.g.) were several Remarks/Comments of purposition to build
Please Consider Contacting
the organised business,
DEOBLO COT TWO INCOL LOUDING
for our various businesses.
For our various businesses.

8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact

7.

RE-mail Kntlwatiniagmail. Com
XSMS 0825134339.
Telephone NA.

9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)

Mr Cocil MTLwatini.

Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.


THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT



1. Which precinct plan is being commented on?
There Mogoerane Hospital
2. Interest in the area?
I am a: ☐ Resident ☐ Property Owner ☐ Business Owner ☐ Visitor
☐ Other
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?
Yes
□ No
Please elaborate:
for the community also it will help the community tobe shopping, nearby.
4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
Shopping med for the Community a for the Staff of the Hospital
5. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
There is no weak point
because they explain
each and every point

6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
proposed, with 1 being the most important:
1. ,
Shopping mall
2. D = - C = - C
Koads Construction
3. Hauses
4.
4.
7. General Remarks/Comments
I won the project
well start ex soon as
\
possible to elavicate.
poverty in our community
and more Jobs to our
BODDIO TO OUN
YEGFIC
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
E-mail
□ SMS
Telephone 0.747186842
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
) and the same of
Amas
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov za or in the "comment
at the Bussburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library or sent by noet to the
Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton
Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

City of Ekurhuleni



6.

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT 1. Which precinct plan is being commented on? THELLE MOGOGRANCE 2. Interest in the area? I am a: Resident Property Owner Business Owner Visitor Other 3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? ~ Yes No Please elaborate: You Can build Hats OR Renting houses. Build mall for Creating Gobs. The Must be Parks So that our Children Can play and roads 4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Companies can be Considereel for sob Greations and Red 5. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?

Industrial Sates because

Most of those who are going to oparate would be foreiners.

proposed, with 1 being the most important:	
1. Mell	
2. Housing	
3.	
INDUSTEIRC	
4. Perics	
7. General Remarks/Comments	
Electricity einel wester seites Arts Centers, Trainer Training Centers like Plymbing, Clectriti	
Arts Centers. Trainer Training	
Centers like Plumbing, electriti	0
Builders, Agricultures. cor.	
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact	
The state of the s	
E-mail	
□ sms	
LI SIVIS	
Telephone	
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)	
Lucry Motourg	
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.	
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT	

6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are



	Iffeelle Mogoreverne. 2. Interest in the area?
	I am a: Resident
	Property Owner
	☐ Business Owner
	☐ Visitor
	Other
	3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes
	□ No
	Please alaborate
	s work an always interested in
	and a that will form
	the formation of the state of the
. / 4 .	menof front out of the contact with
John	/ /
John	Ctown shops.
John	any form that will boing ment of the managed mainet plan?
el o p	4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
elap	4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
day	

	Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are proposed, with 1 being the most important:					
	1. BKi					
	2. Business 3. ofner de belognent.					
	3. ofne de belogment.					
	4.					
	General Remarks/Comments					
	always we endarage and Support					
	development of Communities					
. (Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact					
ĺ	E-mail devid. Esotetsia akzonobel. com					
	SMS 072 732 4284					
	Telephone 072 732 4284					
	Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)					
	monts can be submitted by a selection of the selection of					

Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT



1.	Which precinct plan is being commented on?
	Thelle magnerane hospital
2.	Interest in the area?
	I am a:
	Resident
	Property Owner
	Business Owner
	Visitor
	Other
3.	Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes
	□ No
	Please elaborate:
	Because Everything , saw and was
	Exploined too mode perject Sense.
4.	What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
	The 714 through plan of the Ena RESult
	ma i
	of the brecinct
=	What would you souddon as the soul it is got
J.	What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?

 Please prioritise proposed, with 1 	what you would obeing the most in		most importa	ant projects that are
1			105E0	blabasig.
2.			13001	passo.
3,				
4.				
7. General Remarks				
Over on	606 5	=7	dhe	proposed.
Project	wes	Orcy		
8. Contact Details/Pr			11 6 2000	
E-mail Otorre	sintshona (O Gree	n	
□ SMS				
Telephone		* *** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*	
				h to provide your name below)
Ntombis				
Comments can be subr box" at the Boksburg (Ekurhuleni City Plann	nitted by e-mail to City Planning officing Department, a orporate Office, M	o urbandesi ce or Vosloc at PO Box 1 Aarkem Bui	rus Library, o 3, Kempton P Iding, Cnr Ma	ni.gov.za, or in the "comment or sent by post to the ark, 1620, or hand delivered argaret Avenue and Kempton
THANK YOU FOR	YOUR INPUT			
				5

City of Ekurhuleni

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT
1. Which precinct plan is being commented on?
Thelle Mogoerane precinct Plan
2. Interest in the area?
I am a:
Resident Property Owner
Business Owner
Visitor
Other Reprosenting Business fourse.
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?
Yes
□ No
It looks beautiful
What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? The plan books intresting and beautiful
. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
I don't see the local business
Communety. Thope to get involveme.
in desision making of the pollout of

6.	Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
	proposed, with 1 being the most important:
	1.
	2.
	3.
	S.
	4.
	General Remarks/Comments
	I think it would be good if the local busines community con house
- 3	this development, we are able
	to do big industrial busigess not
0.0	only spage shops.
	ontact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
1	E-mail Sikhumbur mbobo & gmail.com
b	SMS 0818887175
С] Telephone
9. N	Same (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
7.	
Ekurl to the	ments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the huleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
TI	HANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

. Which precine	ct plan is being c	ommented or	n?	
URBAN	DESIGN	PLAN	- THELLE	MOGOERANE

	UKBAN DESIGN PLAN - THELLE MOGOERANE
2	. Interest in the area?
	I am a: Resident Property Owner
	Business Owner
	☐ Visitor
	Other
3.	Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes No Please elaborate:
	THIS WILL ADD VALUE TO THE
	TOWNSHIP AND ITS COMMUNITIES
4.	What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
	JOB CREATION, WEALTH MCREASING SAFER AND RELIABLE TRAVEL
	ARRANGEMENT TO THE HOSPITAL.
5.	What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan? WEAK POINTS WILL BE THAT
	A LARGE NUMBER OF BLACK
	ENGINEERS, QUANTITY SURVEYORS WILL MUISS OUT PARTICIPATING.

6.	Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are proposed, with 1 being the most important:
	1. PUBLIC TRANSPORT PROJ

	The second of th
2.	RESIDENTIAL PROJECT
	RETAIL PROJECT
4.	RECREATIONAL COMPLEX

7.	General	Remarks/Comments
	Ochel al	Tremai Rate Ommenta


I	Am	IN	PAVOUR	2 OF	THE	
E	UTIRE	= PRE	ECINCT	PLA	JONL	1
IF	- 17	WILL	- LARC	IERLY	BENE	FIT
T+	1E	RESIG	DENTIAL	Comi	MUNIT	100
			On the second second			THEORY

8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact

E-mai	bmakuoa @	D gmail	m CO.M

SMS	
	7
] Telephone	

9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)




Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT



C	OMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT
1.	Which precinct plan is being commented on?
	THELES MOSOCRANE PROLINCE
2.	Interest in the area?
	I am a:
	Resident
	Property Owner
	Business Owner
	☐ Visitor
	Other
3.	Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?
	Yes
	□ No
	Please elaborate:
	I WILL PURVITAUSE THE IMAGE OF THE
	HOSPITAL AND ITS SURPOUNDINGS
	11921 1179 1119 1119 3914-00111111123
4.	What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
	RESIDENTIAL AND 1209741 AREAS
5.	What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
	PLAN FOR RANK AND BRI IN THE
	SAME ARCO.

6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are	
proposed, with 1 being the most important:	
1. RESIDENTIAL DEVCTOPMENT	
2. PESAIL CENTRE	
3. PARIC	
4.	
7. General Remarks/Comments	
ITS A VERY SOOD COMCERT, BUT THE	
YOUTH WILL HAVE TO BE INVOLVE	D
IN THE IMPLEMENTADION PROCESS.A	7
NAFCOC YOUTH IM CHURRHULETI, WE	2
WEULD UNCE TO SIT IN THE STEER	
COMMITTE	
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact	
DE-mail FIKILE @ naled, yagrica ce Za.	
0x 2 mm	
□ sms	
X Telephone 079 (58 938)	
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)	
FIRE FATTELA	
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.	
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT	



COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT	
1. Which precinct plan is being commented on?	
Thelle Mogoerane	
2. Interest in the area?	
I am a:	
Resident	
Property Owner	
Business Owner	
☐ Visitor	
Other	
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?	
Yes	
□ No	
Please elaborate:	
T = T	0
To give with it because	2
it will ester for busin	129
Stalls, and it will make	
it will eater for busing Stalls, and it will make the place beathpull	
4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?	
14 is business,	
What would you sould not be a second	
6. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	
none!	

			e most importa	er the most important:	nt projects that	are
1	la	ri R				
2		stalls	for	hauk	cors,	
3						
4	•					
7. Ge	eneral Ren	narks/Comme	nts			
*.**	Stre	es te	ende	13 FO	be	
1010	ùc	@ pare	ilest	es to	ble	plan
			***************		******************	******
1-6-7	************	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			*************************	

8. Con	itact Detail	ls/Preferred M	lethod of Cont	act		
	E-mail					
	SMS					
			<i>271</i> .	97.0		
	Felephone .	673	871-	2113		
9. Nai	me (It is <u>op</u>	tional for you	to decide wher	her or not you wish	to provide your	name below)
5	Zilee	ngile	N.	eumalo		
Commer box" at a Ekurhul to the Ca	nts can be the Boksbu leni City Pi ity Plannin	submitted by arg City Plant lanning Departed g Corporated	e-mail to urbar ning office or V	ndesign@ekurhulen osloorus Library, o Box 13, Kempton Pa I Building, Cnr Mai	r sent by post to	the
THA	NK YOU	FOR YOUR	INPUT			
						1

City of Ekurhuleni

1 ENIP				
	Mogoes	rore		*******
Interest in the area?	· ()			
I am a:	-			
Resident				
Property Owner				
Business Owner				
Visitor				
Other				
Oner				
Do you agree with t	he vision that has bee	n nresented / descr	ihed for the preci	net?
Tes	ne vision that has bee	presented / deser	ibed for the preen	net.
No				
Please elaborate:				
River	emplym	00 11	10 100	1 11
/JUIL MESS	OSpect	of 17	WM CL	m.1
Newy	Emply m	w)		
	' /			
What would you cor	nsider as the strong po	oints of the propose		
What would you cor	nsider as the strong po	oints of the propose	ed precinct plan?	
What would you cor	nsider as the strong po	oints of the propose	ed precinct plan?	
What would you cor	nsider as the strong po	oints of the propose	ed precinct plan?	
What would you con * Open * Trading	nsider as the strong po Spall	oints of the propose	ed precinct plan?	
What would you con * Open * Trading	nsider as the strong po	oints of the propose	ed precinct plan?	
What would you con * Open * Trading	nsider as the strong po Spall	oints of the propose	ed precinct plan?	
What would you con * Open * Trading	nsider as the strong po Spall	oints of the propose	ed precinct plan?	
What would you con * Open * Trading	nsider as the strong po Spall	oints of the propose	ed precinct plan?	
What would you con * Open * Tracking	nsider as the strong po Spall	oints of the propose	ed precinct plan?	
What would you con * Open * Tracking	nsider as the strong po Spall	oints of the propose	ed precinct plan?	

6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
proposed, with 1 being the most important:
1. Fox: rank 2. resal busines
2. restait burines
3.
4.

7. General Remarks/Comments We are Street tractors with to be incoperated to the plan because more often we get left out in Such development and It becomes difficult to compete with formal business
incoperated to the plan because
more often we get leftout in Such
dovelopment and of becomes difficult
La compete with Person I I was
TO COMPLETE DOING FOR WELL GOVERNED
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
XISMS 078 3140 899
Telephone
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below
Grace
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT
1. Which precinct plan is being commented on?
THELLE MOGGERANE HOSPITAL
2. Interest in the area?
I am a: Resident Property Owner
Business Owner
Visitor
Other
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?
□ No
Please elaborate:
IT IS A GOOD PLAN TO ERADICA
OPEN SPACES
4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
Ou-00
BHOPPING CENTRE
What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
BOCTORS BUSSINESS OFFICES AS
PEOPLE ARE NOT WORKING CANT
AGRORD TARIFFS
TIELVINE IIIN PI 3

 Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are proposed, with <u>1 being the most important</u>:
1. RETAIL SHOPS
2.
3.
J.
4.
7. General Remarks/Comments
WE WELCOME THE PROPOSED PROJECT
AND I WOULD LIKE TO EMPHISESE THA
ON JOBS PEOPIE FROM EXT 14 BEGIVEN
FIRST PREFERANCE TO BOOST
UNEMPLONEMENT CWARD 64)
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
WE-mail KOIEEELITAH & GMAIL. KOM
VE-mail 100 CONTAIL CONT
□ SMS
Telephone
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
ELJAH KOIEE
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

COM	IMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT
	PhasselThere Mogoronne
2. Ir	terest in the area?
	Resident Property Owner Business Owner Visitor Other
D	you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes No
1	ease elaborate: -75 a good Phan toops n cMP; 3 Pacs 5
	hat would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Snoping centers/Refai25
****	hat would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
	N/A

proposed, with 1 being the most important:
1. Refeit 5/shof.S
2.
3.
4.
7. General Remarks/Comments
We welcome the project's
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
E-mail
□ SMS
Telephone 0826244599
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
y 63
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

City of Ekurhuleni

<u>C</u>	OMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT
1.	Which precinct plan is being commented on? Thele Mogoerane Precint
2.	Interest in the area?
	I am a: Resident Property Owner Business Owner
	□ Visitor
	Other
3.	Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes No Please elaborate: It will compliment the hospital cause it seem to be an island unto itself.
4.	What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? It will uplift the economy of the strong the town (Vosloorus), It will create employment to the masses and it will improve the town.
	create employment to the masses and it will improve the town.
5.	What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan? My hope is that, will it strected to the rest of Voolborus? Will it include the small businesses of the great as part of developers?

6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
proposed, with 1 being the most important:
1. The business area
1. The business area 2. The shopping complex 3. The housing
4.
7. General Remarks/Comments [Think is good for the
I think is good for the community since is going to develop. I hope sume's will be included in building and being part of this development.
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
X E-mail Maboean @gmail.com
XI SMS 061 928 7971
Telephone
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below Nteso Maboea
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

1.	Which precinct plan is being commented on? The Mogoevaul
2.	Interest in the area?
	I am a: Resident Property Owner
	Business Owner
	Visitor
	Other
3.	Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes No Please elaborate: If will address so so of or products for example described for the precinct? And colvers for example described for the precinct?
١.	What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
ナナる	The thorounde debot close o her town feithity bevelients
	What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?

6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most impe	ortant projects that are
proposed, with 1 being the most important:	
1. Development of le	e four force
2. Javante depo	+
3. Refail	,
4. Devolphy of t	a fredistora
Julian malley	apal
7. General Remarks/Comments Ackey Text Text Towns Towns	development,
allerrate years	lower ad
for very is it	- it i who next
160 - 11 L 16	as I lose or

8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact	NA G
- X E-mail Vyloters egm	off con.
□ SMS	
Telephone	
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you	wish to provide your name below)
Doin Monge	020
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurh	
box at the boxsburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Librar	v. or sent by past to the
Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempto to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr	n Park, 1620, or hand delivered Margaret Avenue and Kempton
Road, Rempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.	
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT	
	5
	City of Ekurhuleni
a partnership that works	Ekurhuleni

Interest	in the area?
I am a:	
Resid	ent rty Owner
	ess Owner
Visito	
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?
Y Yes	
	laborate:

W0 4	
wnat w	ould you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?

What w	ould you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
1/01	BLIC PARTICIPATION, INFORT

6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most im	portant projects that are
proposed, with 1 being the most important:	
1. RETAIL	
2.	
3.	
4.	
7. General Remarks/Comments	
THIS INFORMATION I SPEEDD TO THE V OF YOSLOOKERS, IT	THOLE COMPLEINITY CANT BE COMMINEDED
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact	***************************************
E-mail	
□ SMS	
Telephone	
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not ye	
GLADYS NYWANE	
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@eku box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Lib Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kem to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, C Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.	urhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment orary, or sent by post to the pton Park, 1620, or hand delivered
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT	
	6
a nartnership that works	City of Ekurhuleni

Resident Property Owner Business Owner Visitor Other Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the preceded in the preceded	cinct?
I am a: Resident Property Owner Business Owner Visitor Other	cinct?
Resident Property Owner Business Owner Visitor Other Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the prec	zinct?
 ☑ Property Owner ☑ Business Owner ☑ Visitor ☑ Other Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the prec ☒ Yes ☑ No 	cinct?
□ Business Owner □ Visitor □ Other Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the prec ☑ Yes □ No	cinct?
☐ Visitor ☐ Other Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the prec ☑ Yes ☐ No	cinct?
Other	cinct?
Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the preceder Yes	cinet?
✓ Yes □ No	cinct?
□ No	
Please elaborate:	

What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? BUILDING OF RID. P HOUSIS	?
What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	

proposed, with 1 being the most important:
1. BULLDING OF MALL
2.
3.
4.
7. General Remarks/Comments
EXERTSING OF JOB IN TELLE
MOGOERANE
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact □ E-mail
Telephone
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

City of Ekurhuleni

	LE MOGOERANE
nterest in tl	ne area?
am a;	
Resident	
Property C	
Business C	Owner
Visitor	
Other	
Do you agre o	e with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?
Please elabor	enta:
icase ciaboi	ate.
	you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
What would Rean	
	you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? K. BRT Mall
	you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? K. BRT Mall
	you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? K. BRT Mall
Rein	you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?

	ed, with 1 being the most important:
1.	Rusiness
2.	
3.	Housing
*********	BRT
4.	RANK
7. Genera	l Remarks/Comments
N	o comment
********	***************************************

	Details/Preferred Method of Contact
⋈ SMS	
Telep	hone
9. Name (I	t is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
M	ARH MOTHA
Ekurhuleni (to the City P	an be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered lanning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton ton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
THANK	YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

City of Ekurhuleni

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT	6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
1. Which precinct plan is being commented on?	proposed, with 1 being the most important:
The He Mosoevone	1. Hospital
2. Interest in the area?	2. tax; rowle.
I am a: Resident Property Owner Business Owner	3. 4.
☐ Visitor	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other	There are no specialist in Valuarus in
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? The proposal is much needed in this commity so the Sake of amplying	There are no specialist in follows in the medical fied. It becomes a cost foctor having to trovel hundreds of kun to access Such familition. The hospital Skills is a glent need for me and the community 8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Job Crev Hour	E-mail Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
5. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan? * The parking in hospiful is Not oncy for Visitors, * Hospiful only operates during the day, the community is Not hoppy this should be chared to 24 hs	Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017. THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT
* Extend the hospital in order to support.	a partnership that works

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT	6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are proposed, with 1 being the most important:
1. Which precinct plan is being commented on?	
THELLE MOGOERANE	HOSPITAL EXPANSION.
2. Interest in the area?	2. VISITORS PARKING. AND SECURITY (EXPAS
I am a:	
Resident	3. MOLE HOSPITAL STAFF TO EMPLOY.
L_] Property Owner	4. STREET LIGHTS NEAR HOSATAL
Business Owner	TIEGE L LIGHTS WEAR HOSHIAL
L] Visitor	7. General Remarks/Comments
Other	
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?	
∀es	
□ No	
Please elaborate:	***************************************
AGREE WITH THE VISION, MOSTLY THE	***************************************
CHANGE NEAL THE HOSPITAL AND	
FACILITIES TO BE BUILD.	8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
	E-mail SIFISO Z 99 e gmail. com.
4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?	X SMS 08 10101065
	ASMS OF TOTOLOGS
	Telephone 08 (0 10 10 65
	9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
	SAM ZWANE.
5. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	
HOSPITAL EXPANSION WAS NOT	Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the
	Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton
CONSIDERED AND THE VISITORS	Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
PARKING IS TOO SMALL TO ACCOMONDATE	THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT
KATHORUS, HOSPITAL VISITORS	
	City of Ekurhuleni

ELLE MOGORAHE
rest in the area?
a:
esident
roperty Owner
usiness Owner
isitor
ther
you or no with the vicion that has been a dead of the
ou agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? es
0
se elahorate
VE WILL OPPORTUHITY
US WILL OPPORTUNITY
it would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
LATS
t would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
)
9.41
it would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?

6.	Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
	proposed, with 1 being the most important:
	1. BRIT
	2.
	3.
	4.
7.	General Remarks/Comments
(CENTILIATION OF CONSULTATION
i	
	Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
Ε	B-mail Tomerix HADEBE @ ERURHULEN * GOY * Z
E	□ sms
L	Telephone
9.	Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
	BHEKI
om	ments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment
kur th	at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the buleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton I, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
T	THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT
_	City of

am a: Resident Property Owner Business Owner Visitor Other Oner No Pease elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?	am a: Resident Property Owner Business Owner Visitor Other No Po you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct Yes No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Which precinct plan is b	eing commented on	?	
Interest in the area? am a: Resident Property Owner Business Owner Visitor Other Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mac(Interest in the area? am a: Resident Property Owner Business Owner Visitor Other Other	THELLE	MOGOE	RANE	
Resident Property Owner Business Owner Visitor Other Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?	Resident Property Owner Business Owner Visitor Other Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct Yes No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?				
□ Property Owner □ Business Owner □ Visitor □ Other Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? ☑ Yes □ No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mac(What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	I am a:			
Business Owner Visitor Other Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mac(Business Owner Visitor Other Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct Yes No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mac(Resident			
Other	□ Other	Property Owner			
Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? 以Yes □ No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?	Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct ∀ Yes No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mac((Business Owner			
Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? 好Yes □ No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?	Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct Yes No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mac(Visitor			
Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? 好Yes □ No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?	Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct Yes No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mac(Other			
図 Yes □ No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mac((▼Yes No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mac(What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?				
図 Yes □ No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mac((What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Do you agree with the vi	sion that has been p	resented / descri	bed for the precinct?
Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Ma((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mal (What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?				
What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Ma((What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mac(What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	□ No			
What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Ma((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Ma((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Please elaborate:			
What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Ma((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Ma((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?				
What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Ma((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Ma(() What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?			***************************************	
What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Ma((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Ma(() What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?				
Ma((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?		**************************	*************	
Ma((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Mcc((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?			*************************	***************************************
Ma((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Mac((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?				
Ma((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Mat would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?			***************************************	
Ma((What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Mat would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	What would you conside	r as the strong point	to of the puope	l muselmet along
What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?		r as the strong point	is of the proposed	precinct plan?
What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Mall		************	
		***************************************	****	***************************************	************************

		What would you conside.		.6.0	
taxi vant Toilets	taxi vant Toilets				
taxi Yank Toilets	taxi Yank Toilets	Paking	Dert	side	for
taxi vang 1011ets	taxi vang 1012ets	1	. 4	- 1 1	·····
		Taxi V	any.	101124	5
			1		
				***************************************	***************************************

	I, with 1 being the most important:
1.	FLats
2.	
3.	
4.	
7. General	Remarks/Comments
Enl	Hy Room there old
PIE	14y Room there old Des to take them away
10	clean the place

8. Contact D	etails/Preferred Method of Contact
E-mail	
□ sms	SM15
Telepho	one 073 43 67595
9. Name (It	is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
	NAILS MOHLOMI
Ekurhuleni Ci to the City Pla	h be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment oksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the ity Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered inning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton on Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
	OU FOR YOUR INPUT



COM	MENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT
W	hich precinct plan is being commented on?
-	THELE MOGORANE
	iterest in the area?
10	terest in the area?
	m a:
	Resident Property Owner
20.0	
	Business Owner
	Visitor
	Other
**	
	o you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?
	Yes No
-	ease elaborate:
11	ease claborate.
15555	
	hat would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
-	JUB OPPORTUNITY ANDA
	Bussings opportunities
	Bussings offorwnites

*	hat would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
	DEMANDING EXPERIENCE

6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
proposed, with 1 being the most important:
1. B.R. T.
2. TAXI RANK
3. MALL
4. FLATS
7. General Remarks/Comments
THE LOCAL CONTANT
THE COCAL CONTANT ON BUSINGS OPPORTUNITY AND GIVE THE THE
Prople of ARGA FIRST Properance in Thaining
Proferance in Thanno
OF Work AND BUSINGSESI
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
E-mail Lebogo MS. Mongy QgMA, L-Com
□ sms
Telephone 092 743 2725
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
TEBOGO hetschele
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT	6. Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are
1. Which precinct plan is being commented on?	proposed, with 1 being the most important:
Thelle Magoekane Development Framework	1. 5/
2. Interest in the area?	2. Desting areas
I am as	BK/
I am and Resident	3.
Property Owner	Housin
Business Owner	4. Tosci Kank
□Visitor	* 0
	7. General Remarks/Comments
Other	the process of creating the
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?	Community awareness. E
Yes	
□ No	to the sprontunity that
Please elaborate:	and the so to BBEE a
	of development.
	3
	8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
	VE-mail biglucky 84@ great cons
4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?	, ,
	□ SMS
The apportunity to create work and support small business.	Telephone
	9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your na
	Luck NK-si
5. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Lucky NKessi
	Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "
The buck of community purposes	box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Department Park, 1620, or hand of the City Planning Park, 1620, or hand
The back of community participation and the lack of exposure of	to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and
(= 1	Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
way people to saucasion	THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

creating the wareness. Espelially that is economic. To BBEE aspect r or not you wish to provide your name below) esign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment loorus Library, or sent by post to the 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered uilding, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton 2017.

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT

	Which precinct plan is being commented on? THEILE MOGOERANE
2. 1	nterest in the area?
I	am a:
2	Resident
	Property Owner
	Business Owner
	Visitor
	Other
3. I	Oo you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?
2	V Yes
] No
	Please elaborate:
	Des because it wall be provide
Ć	Employement
	What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? The Creation:
	7-2 C/C4/10/0
. V	What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?

6.	Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are proposed, with 1 being the most important:		
	1. TAXi RANK		
	2. RETALS		
	3.		
	4.		
7.	General Remarks/Comments		
8.	Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact		
	E-mail		
	□ sms		
	Telephone 0717131386		
).	Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)		
	Lukka Wondo		
ku ku	nments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the urbuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered be City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Id, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.		
	THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT		



Interest in the area? I am a: Resident Property Owner Business Owner Visitor Other Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes No Please elaborate:	Which precinct plan is be	ing commented on? Mogoesoine
What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Interest in the area?	
What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Malls Taxi Rank What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	I am a: Resident Property Owner	
Other Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mall 5 Tax) Rank What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Business Owner	
Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes No Please elaborate: What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Mall 5 Tax) Rank What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Visitor	
What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? Malls Taxi Rank What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Other	************
Malls Taxi Rank What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	Yes No	on that has been presented / described for the precinct?
Malls Taxi Rank What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?		
What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?	malls	*
101000	IOX) Mans	

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT

0.	Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are proposed, with 1 being the most important:
	1. Refurbishment of open Sporces
	3.
	4.
7.	General Remarks/Comments
	$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}$
	V
8.	Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
	E-mail
	□ sms
	Telephone 0.78 43 55 65
9.	Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
	Santi Sam Mphythi
ox ku	nments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the irhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered be City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton ed, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
	THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT
3	City of Ekurhuleni

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT 1. Which precinct plan is being commented on? THELLE ADIDED

	INCLLE NIUGOERANG
2.	Interest in the area?
	am a: Resident Property Owner
I	Business Owner
I	Visitor
[Other
	Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct?
I	□ No
	Please elaborate: We like the concept board on the fort that is gives us an Idea of Vostovus
	Culus development and its a great new
4	Culus development and its a great new in this community and it shows that the foresummer is doing something. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan?
4.	It's a great newl, crews emply med and benefit the youth. and the rank
	proposul is a gital idea
5.	What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?
-	- NO
7	

Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are		
proposed, with 1 being the most important:		
1. Rank		
2.		
3.		
4.		
7. General Remarks/Comments		
I am hoppy		
/		
8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact		
E-mail		
PSMS 074 244 2295		
Telephone		
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)		
1 1 : G 1 :		
Mpheni Qotoyi		
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered to the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Road, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.		
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT		



COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT	
1. Which precinct plan is being commented on?	
THERE MOROERANE	
2. Interest in the area?	
I am a:	
Resident	
□ Property Owner □ Business Owner	
☐ Visitor	
Other	
Lis Other	
3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for th	e precinct?
✓ Yes □ No	
Please elaborate:	
MALL WILL BRING JOB	D A D
THE Community not to-	
Long Distance To Buy	CIROCETHES
4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct	plan?
Mall will Bling Jobs	AND
THE Community	

5. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct p	lan?
IT IS A GOOD PLAN	
***************************************	***************************************

proposed, with 1 being the most important:	
1. MALL	
2.	
3.	
4.	
7. General Remarks/Comments	
None	

8. Contact Details/Preferred Method of Contact	
E-mail	
MSMS	
Telephone	
9. Name (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you w	ish to provide your name below)
Monds Masonbo.	
Comments can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhulox" at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library. Ekurhuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton of the City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Modad, Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.	eni.gov.za, or in the "comment , or sent by post to the Park, 1620, or hand delivered
THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT	
	City of Ekurhuleni

COMMENTS FORM: EKURHULENI URBAN DESIGN PROJECT 1. Which precinct plan is being commented on? 2. Interest in the area? I am a: Resident Property Owner ☐ Business Owner Visitor Other 3. Do you agree with the vision that has been presented / described for the precinct? Yes ☐ No Please elaborate: 4. What would you consider as the strong points of the proposed precinct plan? 5. What would you consider as the weak points of the proposed precinct plan?

	Please prioritise what you would consider the most important projects that are proposed, with 1 being the most important:
	1. 10061
*	2.
-	3.
	4.
7. (General Remarks/Comments
	Yio
**	***************************************
8. C	ontact Details/Preferred Method of Contact
C] E-mail
	SMS 079 3783414
] Telephone
9. N	ame (It is optional for you to decide whether or not you wish to provide your name below)
Ekurh to the	nents can be submitted by e-mail to urbandesign@ekurhuleni.gov.za, or in the "comment at the Boksburg City Planning office or Vosloorus Library, or sent by post to the nuleni City Planning Department, at PO Box 13, Kempton Park, 1620, or hand delivered City Planning Corporate Office, Markem Building, Cnr Margaret Avenue and Kempton Kempton Park, by no later than 20 March 2017.
TH	HANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT

a partnership that works

City of Ekurhuleni

Somments - T.M. Precinct Plan. I BONGANI hot: ID NO 7809255940089 Cell NO 0717333108 FROM EXT25 wand 45. I ve I saw the precint plan for Thelle Mocroerane it looks so Nice but my coreen is the space allocated for Rotall centre as comped to the one allocated for Taxi hant my Reason is because Retail centre brings a lot of Job opportunities than the tax! rank.





FORMULATION OF URBAN DESIGN POLICY, PRECINCT PLANS & REVIEW COMMITTEE: PRECINCT FORUM WORKSHOP:

DRIES NIEMANDT PRECINCT PLAN & THELLE MOGOERANE HOSPITAL PRECINCT PLAN

10 December 2015


Boardroom 1 & 2; Investment Centre; 1 Monument Road; Kempton Park

AGENDA

- 1. Opening & Introductions
- 2. Project overview & Purpose of Precinct Forum
- 3. Matters for discussion
 - 3.1 Presentation: Proposed Precinct Plans:
 - Thelle Mogoerane Hospital Precinct (New Natal Spruit Hospital Precinct)
 - Dries Niemandt Precinct
 - 3.2 Discussion on content of presentation
- 4. Way Forward
- Closure









Included below and in the attached file, please find an invitation to an "open day" scheduled for engagement in respect of this Urban Design Precinct Plan in process of being formulated for the area around the Thelle Mogoerane Hospital in Vo

The draft urban design precinct plan for comments and inputs will also be uploaded on the Ekurhuleni website, but should you require any further information at this stage, you are

Looking forward to seeing you at the "open day" on Saturday and receiving your inputs. However, should you not be able to attend the "open day" you can still view the draft urban design precinct plan on the Ekurhuleni website (in your own time) and forward your comments / inputs to us by the due date stated in the notice below.

Should you wish to meet with us in regard to the proposed urban design precinct plan, please let us know, then we will glad to make an appointment to meet with you.



INVITATION FOR STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT: URBAN DESIGN PRECINCT PLAN: AREAS AROUND THE THELLE MOGOERANE HOSPITAL

HAVE YOUR SAY!!!

The City of Ekurhuleni is formulating an Urban Design Precinct Plan for the areas around the Thelle Mogocrane Hospital in Vosioorus. These precinct plans will serve to guide possible future development within the areas under consideration. In addition, an overarching Ekurhuleni Urban Design Policy is being formulated to supplement the exist region of the properties of t the areas under consideration. In addition, an overarching Ekurhuleni Urban Design Policy is being formulated to supplement the said precinct plans.

provide your suggestions and comments

Date: Saturday, 18 February 2017 Time: Between 10h00 to 14h00 (at your conve Venue: J. Dumane Hall,

Submit in the "comment box" at the Boksburg City Planning Offices or Vosloorus Library,

Deadline for inputs: Monday, 20 March 2017.



Kind regards.


a partnership that works

Martin Bekker, Pr. Pln Metropolitan Spatial Planning Division City Planning Department Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality



E-mail Postal


+27(0)11-999-4026 Kempton Park 1620 Ground Floor, Markem Building 10 Margaret Ave, Kempton Parl






+

Ground Floor, Markem Building 10 Margaret Ave, Kempton Park





City of Ekurhulen

www.ekurhuleni.gov.za Postal PO Box 13 Kempton Park. 1620 Physical Ground Floor, Markem Building

